January-March 2025 | Volume 3 | Issue 1 | RNI No.: MPENG/2022/83989 | Quarterly



ISSN : 2583-9454 (PRINT) ISSN: 3048-4871 (ONLINE)

# Indian Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics

Official Journal of IAP Chapter of Neurodevelopmental Pediatrics



# **CONTENTS**

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE** Neurodevelopmental Outcome of Newborns with Neonatal Seizures at 6 and 12 Months of Age - A Prospective Cohort Study at Children Hospital Bemina, GMC Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India. 1 Dr. Ishfaq Ahmad Reshi, Prof. Sheikh Mushtaq Ahmad, Dr. Mubashir Hassan Shah **RESEARCH ARTICLE** A Case Control Study on Impact of Screen Time on Language Development in Children Between 2-5 Years of Age 10 Dr. Shikhar Gupta, Dr. Shally Awasthi, Dr. Chandrakanta, Dr. Gunjan Parasher **RESEARCH ARTICLE** Criterion Validation of 'Speech Related Items' in INCLEN NDST-Research Form Against Receptive Expressive Emergent Language Scale-4 Among Children with Complaints of Speech Problems 19 Dr. MKC Nair, Dr. Amrita Gautam, Dr. Arunima, Dr. Remadevi S, Dr. Swapna S, Dr. Sajitha J **RESEARCH ARTICLE** Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Patterns of Participation in Daily Physical and Play Activity 24 Dr. Pallavi Sanga, Ms.Arul Devi, Dr. Lal D V Nair, Dr. Mohit Dey, Dr.Ivan Das **RESEARCH ARTICLE** Effect of Clinic-Based Parental Guidance with ADHD Management on Parental Attitude and Behaviour in ADHD Children - A Practice-Based 5-Year Follow-Up 33 Dr. Lata Bhat, Ms. Areeba Ahmed, Ms. Insha Mohammad, Ms. Akshita Bhat **AUTHOR'S GUIDELINE** 42

Guide lines for authors and manuscript preparation:

### **Indian Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics**

### (Official Journal of IAP Chapter of Neurodevelopmental Pediatrics)

### **Editorial Board**

### **Editor in Chief**

#### Dr. Zafar Mahmood Meenai

(FRCPCH,UK, M.Sc. Paediatric Neurodisability Hallam, Sheffield, U.K ) Director, Ummeid Child Development Centre, Z-30, Meena Tower, Polytechnic Main Road, Civil Lines, Bhopal-462002 <u>editor.ijdbp@gmail.com, http://ijdbp.in/index.html</u> <u>drzafarmeenai@ummeid.com, https://www.ummeid.com</u>

#### **Co-Editors**

#### Prof. Sheffali Gulati (M.D., FRCPCH,UK)

Professor & Faculty-in-Charge, Centre of Excellence & Advanced Research for Childhood Neuro-Developmental Disorders & Child Neurology Division, Department of Pediatrics, AIIMS, New Delhi, India <u>sheffaligulati@aiims.edu</u>, <u>www.pedneuroaiims.org</u>

#### Prof. Monica Juneja (M.D.)

Director, Professor & Head, Department of Pediatrics, Maulana Azad Medical College, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002 <u>monica.juneja@gov.in, www.mamc.ac.in</u>

#### Prof. Beena Koshy (M.D.)

Professor, Developmental Paediatrics, DBT-Welcome Trust India Alliance, ICPH Fellow, Christian Medical College, Vellore-632004 beenakurien@cmcvellore.ac.in, www.cmch-vellore.edu

#### Advisors

Dr. S.S. Kamath (M.D.) drsskamath@gmail.com

Dr. Shabina Ahmed (M.D.) autiassam@gmail.com

Prof. Veena Kalra (M.D.) childcentre3@gmail.com

Dr. Nandini Mundkur (M.D.) nandinimundkur@gmail.com

Dr. Uday Bodhankar (M.D.) <u>ubodhankar@gmail.com</u>

Dr. Abraham Paul (M.D.) abrahamkpaul@gmail.com

Dr. Babu George (M.D.) <u>bgtvpm@gmail.com</u>

### Assoc. Prof. Gp Capt (Dr) KS Multani Retd. (M.D.)

Associate Professor MMCMSR, Sodapur, Amabala-134007 kawaljit000@gmail.com

#### Dr. Samir Hasan Dalwai (M.D.)

Founder Director, New Horizons Child Development Centre, Saira Mansion, Pahadi School Road 2, Goregaon East, Mumbai - 400063 <u>info@enablemychild.org, www.newhorizonscdc.in</u>

Dr. Leena Srivastava (D.C.H., M.A.)

In-Charge, Child Development and Guidance Centre, Department of Pediatrics, Bharati Hospital and Research Cenre, Bharati Vidyapeeth Deemed to be University Medical College and Hospital, Pune Satara Road, Dhankawdi, Pune - 411043 <u>leena.srivastava@bharatividyapeeth.edu</u> https://bharatihealthcare.com/allopathy-pune/center-of-

excellence/pediatrics/

#### **Associate Editors**

Dr. Shambhavi Seth (M.D.) shambhaviseth@gmail.com

Dr. A Somasundaram (M.D.) <u>dsoulcdcadyar@gmail.com</u>

Prof. S Sitaraman (M.D.) newtonicad@gmail.com

Dr. Anjan Bhattacharya (FRCPCH, UK) nabajatakcdcedu@gmail.com

> Dr. Lata Bhat (FRCPCH, UK) lata2207@gmail.com

Dr. Leena Deshpande (M.D., MRCP, U.K.) <u>drleenadeshpande@gmail.com</u>

#### Epidemiologist

Dr. Gurpreet Singh (M.D.) drgurpreet.mdafmc@gmail.com

#### **PUBLISHED BY**

#### IAP Chapter of Neurodevelopmental Pediatrics

7th Floor, IMA House, Jawaharlal Nehru International Stadium Road, Palarivattom, Cochin, Keral-682025 Email : cdgiap@gmail.com, Website : www.iapndp.org

#### **PRINTED BY**

#### Aadarsh Pvt. Ltd.

Shikhar Varta, 4, Press Complex, Zone-I, M.P. Nagar, Bhopal-462 011 (M.P.) INDIA Tel. : +91-755-2555442, Email : info@aadarsh.com, Website : www.Aadarsh.com

### Aims and Scope of Indian Journal of Developmental and Behavioural Pediatrics (IJDBP)

IJDBP is a specialty journal in Developmental and Behavioural pediatrics published by Indian Academy of Pediatrics Chapter of Neurodevelopmental Paediatrics

The Journal welcomes Original papers, Review articles, Case reports and other articles relevant to child development & Behaviour including :

- Neuro developmental disorders,
- Developmental delays,
- Behavioural issues,
- Autism,
- · Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder,
- Learning difficulties,
- Intellectual disabilities,
- Evidence based role of early intervention,
- Family centred multidisciplinary intervention,
- · Neurogenetic disorders affecting child development,
- Neuroimaging & Neurological issues affecting child development,
- Corrective and assistive surgeries
- · Home environmental and environmental issues affecting child development,
- Medical conditions
- Low birth weight and High-risk neonate requiring neonatal intensive care & its outcome,
- Preventive aspects in adolescents and pregnancy.
- Management of conditions covered in Rights of Persons with Disability Act,2016 of GOI.

It aim to promote advances in research in the field of child development and Behavioural issues so that latest evidenced based information is shared to enhance the quality of care and improve lives of children with special needs and their families.

The journal will be National Double Blind Peer review Open access journal published Quarterly. We will accept for publication manuscripts that were not published earlier in any form. The journal is devoted to publishing quality papers based on original innovative and most advance research in the field of developmental behavioural pediatrics.

The Journal aims to have the highest possible ethical and publication standards by scrutinizing the papers, through peer review assisted by eminent experts from prestigious teaching institutes from the country. For all Manuscripts submitted the journal will employ a plagiarism detection system for detecting plagiarism against previously published work.

#### COPYRIGHT

The entire contents of the Indian Journal of Developmental and Behavioural Pediatrics (IJDBP) are protected under Indian and international copyright laws. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without prior written permission from the copyright holder. All rights are reserved. The copyright for all published articles is transferred to the publisher, while the authors remain solely responsible for the content, originality, and ethical standards of their work.

#### DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Indian Journal of Developmental and Behavioural Pediatrics (IJDBP) reflect the views of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the journal, its Editorial Board, or the Publisher. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Indian Journal of Developmental and Behavioural Pediatrics (IJDBP), its publishers, nor anyone else involved in the production and dissemination of the journal assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information published herein.

### HEARING LOSS IN NEWBORNS - NEED FOR EARLY DETECTION & INTERVENTION

Hearing impairment is one of the sensory impairments with prominent social, educational and psychological implications. The affected children are at high risk for deficits in language acquisition social skills. Hearing impairment can be congenital or acquired and can occur at any age from an array of causes. Identifying the cause is essential for effective management, better prognosis and genetic counseling in indicated cases.

The incidence of profound congenital hearing loss (PCHL) is 1 to 2 per 1000 in well baby population and 2 to 4 per 100 in neonatal intensive care unit population. Most children with congenital hearing impairment at birth can be identified by newborn hearing screening. However, certain cases of genetic / hereditary hearing loss may not manifest until later in life. Infectious diseases, especially meningitis, otitis media traumatic brain injury, damaging noise levels and ototoxic drugs can place a child at risk of developing Acquired hearing loss can occur secondary to genetic causes, infections, traumatic brain injury or ototoxic medications.

Failure detect children with congenital or acquired hearing loss may result in lifelong deficits in speech and language acquisition, poor academic performance, personal-social maladjustments and emotional difficulties. Early identification of hearing loss and appropriate intervention within the first 6 months of life has been demonstrated to prevent many of these adverse consequences and facilitate optimal language acquisition.

The Joint Committee of Infant Hearing (JCIH) position statement provides guidelines that include Universal Newborn Hearing Screening (UNHS) soon after birth before discharge from hospital or before 1 month of age, diagnosis of hearing loss through audiologic and medical evaluation before 3 months and intervention through an interdisciplinary program for infants with confirmed hearing loss before 6 months of age.

The Oto-acoustic emissions (OAEs), the Auditory Brainstem Response (ABR) and Automated Auditory Brainstem Response (AABR) tests have all been used in newborn hearing screening programs. ABR assesses the function of the auditory pathway starting from the eighth nerve through the auditory brainstem and OAE tests the integrity of cochlea.

The ABR and OAE are tests of structural integrity of the auditory pathway and are not tests of hearing. Even if ABR and OAE test results are normal, hearing cannot be definitely considered normal until a child is mature enough for a reliable behavioral audiogram. All infants, regardless of Newborn hearing screening outcome, should receive ongoing monitoring for development of age-appropriate communication skills.

With Regards & Best Wishes,

**Dr. Abraham .K. Paul** Advisor IJDBP Senior Consultant Pediatrician Indira Gandhi Co-operative Hospital, Kochi - 682020

## **EDITORIAL**

As we celebrated World DOWN Syndrome day on 21st March for inclusion I was reminded of sports, which provide a holistic fulfilling opportunity for inclusion and accomplishment. The 2024 Paris Paralympics featured 22 exciting sports. Here are the games that were included:

- Para Archery: With up to 140 athletes competing at the Invalides <sup>1</sup>.
- Para Athletics: As the largest sport in the Paralympics, with up to 1,069 athletes competing across 164 medal events.
- Para Badminton: A fast-paced racquet sport,
- Blind Football: A thrilling team sport that requires skill and strategy.
- Boccia: A precision ball sport that demands focus and control.
- Para Canoe: A thrilling water sport that requires endurance and agility.
- Para Cycling: With events on the road and track, this sport demands speed and skill.
- Para Equestrian: A beautiful sport that showcases the harmony between riders and horses.
- Goalball: A fast-paced team sport that requires quick reflexes and strategy.
- Para Judo: A martial art that demands strength, agility, and technique.
- Para Powerlifting: A strength-based sport that requires power and endurance.
- Para Rowing: With up to 104 athletes competing in five medal events.
- Shooting Para Sport: A precision sport that demands focus and control.
- Sitting Volleyball: A thrilling team sport that requires skill and strategy.
- Para Swimming: With over 600 athletes competing across 141 medal events.
- Para Table Tennis: A fast-paced racquet sport that demands speed and agility.
- Para Taekwondo: A martial art that requires strength, agility, and technique.
- Para Triathlon: A demanding endurance sport that requires skill and strategy.
- Wheelchair Basketball: A thrilling team sport that requires speed, agility, and strategy.
- Wheelchair Fencing: A precision sport that demands focus, control, and technique.
- Wheelchair Rugby: A physically demanding team sport that requires strength, endurance, and strategy.
- Wheelchair Tennis: A fast-paced racquet sport that demands speed, agility, and technique.

This list can be a source for vocational planning for children with special needs

### **Best Regards**

### Dr. Zafar Mahmood Meenai

FRCPCH(UK), MSc paediatric Neurodisability (UK) Editor-in-Chief, IJDBP

# Neurodevelopmental Outcome of Newborns with Neonatal Seizures at 6 and 12 Months of Age – A Prospective Cohort Study at Children Hospital Bemina, GMC Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India.

Dr. Ishfaq Ahmad Reshi<sup>1</sup>, Prof. Sheikh Mushtaq Ahmad<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Mubashir Hassan Shah<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, 500 Bedded Children Hospital, Bemina Bypass Road, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir – 190018 Address for correspondence Prof. Dr. Sheikh Mushtaq Ahmad 500 Bedded Children Hospital, Bemina Bypass Road, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir – 190018 Email: waleekamil@gmail.com



Received : 02 JANUARY 2025; Accepted: 03 MARCH 2025

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Neonatal seizures represent the most prevalent neurological disorder in newborns and are critical for neurodevelopmental outcomes. These seizures frequently occur during the neonatal period, with an incidence ranging from 1.5 to 5.5 per 1000 live births, and are more prevalent in preterm infants due to brain immaturity and increased risk of injury They signify neurological dysfunction, which may be reversible or persistent depending on the underlying cause. Prompt diagnosis and intervention are imperative to reduce mortality and mitigate long-term neurological consequences.

**Aims & Objectives:** To evaluate the neurodevelopmental outcome of neonates with seizures at 6 and 12 months of age and to identify prognostic risk factors influencing outcomes.

**Materials & Methods:** This prospective cohort study was conducted on neonates admitted with seizures between January 2022 and March 2023 in the Neonatology Division, Department of Pediatrics, GMC Srinagar. Following history taking, physical examination, and etiological screening, data were systematically recorded. Neonates were monitored in a high-risk neonatal outpatient department, conducted biweekly. The Amiel Tison neurological examination was performed at discharge and during follow-up. Neurodevelopmental assessment was conducted at 6 and 12 months using the Denver Developmental Screening Test II (DDST – II) in collaboration with a clinical psychologist. Univariate analysis was employed to examine the relationship between risk factors and neurodevelopmental outcomes. Categorical data were compared using the Chi-square or Fisher's exact test, with statistical significance set at  $p \le 0.05$ . Relative Risk (RR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated.

**Results:** During the study period, 367 neonates were admitted to the NICU with seizures. After applying the selection criteria, 120 neonates were included in the study. It was observed that 61.66% (n=74) exhibited normal development, 11.66% (n=14) experienced developmental delay in two domains with scores below 70%, 8.33% (n=10) had Global Developmental Delay, 5% (n=6) were diagnosed with cerebral palsy, 1.66% (n=2) developed postnatal epilepsy, 6.66% (n=8) succumbed to complications, and 5% (n=6) were lost to follow-up.

**Conclusion:** Hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy was significantly associated with developmental delay in 33% of patients, with a relative risk (RR) of 2.26. Developmental delay was also observed in cases of meningitis and intraventricular hemorrhage; conversely, metabolic causes such as hypoglycemia and hypocalcemia demonstrated

How to cite this article: Reshi IA, Ahmad SM, Shah MH. Neurodevelopmental Outcome of Newborns with Neonatal Seizures at 6 and 12 Months of Age – A Prospective Cohort Study at Children Hospital Bemina, GMC Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India. Indian J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2025;3(1): 1-9. DOI: 10.5281/ zenodo.15124689

#### **Keywords:**

- Neonatal seizures
- Neurodevelopment
- Risk factors
- Hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy

Indian Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics (Official Journal of IAP Chapter of Neurodevelopmental Pediatrics) favorable outcomes when treated promptly. Prolonged and recurrent hypoglycemia was linked to impaired neurodevelopmental outcomes. Adverse prognostic factors included gestational age at birth, APGAR Score at 5 minutes, the necessity for resuscitation beyond 5 minutes, neonatal status epilepticus, onset of seizures within 24 hours, abnormal neurological examination at discharge, and abnormal EEG or neuroimaging findings.

### Introduction:

Neonatal seizures significantly impact neurodevelopmental outcomes <sup>[1]</sup>. They are common in neonates, with an incidence of 1.5 to 5.5 per 1000 live births, higher in preterm infants due to brain immaturity and injury risks. Outcomes depend on etiology, gestational age, EEG activity, and antiepileptic response <sup>[2]</sup>. Prompt diagnosis and treatment are crucial to reduce mortality and long-term neurological issues. Most seizures are acute, symptomatic, and caused by severe brain insults like HIE or ICHs, but some involve neonatal-onset epilepsy linked to structural, metabolic, or genetic disorders <sup>[3,4,5]</sup>. Few studies focus on neurodevelopmental outcomes, especially in developing countries. This study assessed outcomes of neonates with seizures, emphasizing etiology-based outcomes over a year.

### **Material & Methods:**

After Institutional ethical committee clearance (Notification No: F(BOPGS-Medicine)Acad/KU/23 Dated-31-08-2023), the study was conducted on neonates admitted with seizures in the Division of Neonatology, Department of Paediatrics at Govt.Children HOSPITAL, a 500 Bedded Tertiary Care Hospital of Govt. Medical College, Srinagar. Neonates admitted from January 2022 to March 2023 were included. Neonates aged 34-41 weeks and weighing 2-4 KG were included. Exclusions were congenital anomalies, inborn errors of metabolism, and syndromic features.

History, examination, and etiologic screening with investigations were done. Neurological Assessment used the Amiel Tison method, a clinical tool to evaluate newborns' neurological status, focusing on muscle tone, reflexes, posture, and head control.

#### Developmental Assessment

Developmental assessment was supervised by a clinical psychologist using the Denver Developmental Screening Test II (DDST – II), assessing child development in:

- Gross motor.
- Fine motor-adaptive.
- · Language.
- Personal social.

It includes 125 items divided into categories, arranged chronologically by age. The test took 10 – 20 minutes.

Interpretation of DDST-II:

• Normal – if a child passes, fails, or refuses an item where the age line falls between the 25th and 75th percentiles.

•Delayed – When a child fails or refuses a task within the age line cut through >75th percentile.

### **Statistical analysis:**

Baseline data was recorded in a case record form, and a master sheet was prepared in an MS Excel worksheet. This was analyzed using SPSS Version 22. Standard statistical methods were used, with continuous variables shown as Mean ( $\pm$  Standard Deviation) or Median (IQR) based on data distribution. A descriptive analysis of the study population was done. A univariate analysis studied the relationship of risk factors with neurodevelopmental outcomes. Chi-square or Fischer's exact test compared categorical data. A P-Value  $\leq$  0.05 was considered statistically significant. Relative Risk (RR) with a 95% confidence interval (C.I) was calculated.

### **Results:**

A total of 7234 out born neonates were admitted during the study period, of which 367 (5.07%) had neonatal seizures. After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, 120 neonates were enrolled for study outcomes. Of these, 8 (6.6%) died due to complications, 6 (5%) were lost to follow up. Finally, 106 (88.3%) neonates were followed up and neurodevelopmental assessment was done at 6 and 12 months of age. Of 120 neonates, 56.6%[n=68] were males and 43.3%[n=52] females, 17.5% (n=21) were low birth weight (LBW) and 82.5% [n=99] had normal birth weight, 30% (n=36) were moderate to late preterm and 70%( n=84) were term babies (table 3). 36.6% (n=44) babies were delivered by normal vaginal delivery (NVD) while 63.3% (n=76) by LSCS. 63.3%(n=76) had an APGAR Score at 5 minutes  $\geq$ 7 (normal), 21.6%(n=26) had a score of 4 to 6 (moderately depressed) and 15% (n=18) were severely depressed with a score of 0 to 3.

Onset of seizures within 24 hours was seen in 45% (n=54) neonates, 30% (n=36) presented between 24 to 72 hours of life while 25% (n=30) had seizures after 72 hours. Most common type of seizures were subtle seizures seen in 50% (n=60), followed by clonic 26.6%(n=32), myoclonic 12.5%(n=15) and Tonic seizures in 10.8% (n=13). The most common cause of neonatal seizures was Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy (HIE) seen in 45.83% (n=55), followed by metabolic causes (33.3%) like hypoglycemia 13.33%(n=16), hypocalcemia 20% (n=24). Meningitis was seen in 11.6% (n=14), Post-natal epilepsy in 5% (n=6) cases, intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) in 2.5%(n=3), bilirubin encephalopathy with seizures in 1.66% (n=2).

Ultrasonography cranium was normal in 71.6%( n=76) and abnormal findings like dilated ventricles, raised periventricular echogenicity, IVH were seen in 28.3% (n=30) cases. MRI Brain was done in 90 cases, abnormal in 33.9% (n=36). Electroencephalography (EEG) was done in 85 cases, normal in 51.8%(n=55) and abnormal in 28.3% (n=30) cases. Abnormal EEG findings included focal or multifocal discharges, abnormal background EEG, burst suppression pattern.BERA was done in 19 out total 22 cases of meningitis and bilirubin encephalopathy with seizures and it was abnormal in 13.6% of cases. Abnormal BERA was reported as increased threshold or latency seen in I, III, or V waves.

At the time of discharge from hospital, neurological assessment revealed 83% (n=88) to be mentally alert, 17% (n=18) were neurologically depressed, 69.8%(n=74) hadnormal tone, 27.3%( n=29) had hypertonia with exaggerated reflexes, 2.8%( n=3) had hypotonia. Head circumference for age was normal in 92.4% (n=98), microcephaly was seen 1.8%( n=2) , macrocephaly was seen in 5.6% (n=6) cases as per Fenton growth charts. Incomplete Moros reflex was seen 9.4%(n=10) cases while it was completely absent in 7.5% (n=8) cases. Suckling reflex was Sustained in 84.9% (n=90), Unsustained in 9.4% (n=10%) and absent in 5.6% (n=6).

Poor prognostic factors associated with impaired neurodevelopmental outcome included Gestational age, APGAR Score at 5 minutes, need for resuscitation after 5 min., neonatal status epilepticus, onset of seizures less than 24 hours, abnormal neurological exam. at discharge, abnormal EEG or Neuroimaging. Unfavourable outcome (developmental delay, cerebral palsy) was seen in 17.9% (n=17) patients born as preterm compared to 14% (n= 15) term babies. This was found to be statistically significant with a p value < 0.05 and confidence interval 1.8-5.2. APGAR score less than seven after five minutes of birth was associated with unfavourable outcome in 18.8%(n=20) patients. This was statistically significant with p value 0.002 and C. I = (1.3-3.9). Patients who needed extra resuscitation after 5 minutes of birth like intubation, chest compressions were associated with unfavourable outcome in 19.8%(n=21) and this was statistically significant with p value 0.0002 and C.I (1.5-3.9).

Similarly, onset of seizures less than 24 hours was associated with unfavourable outcome in 23.5% (n=25) with a statistically significant p value 0.0015 and C. I (1.34-4). Neonatal status epilepticus was associated with unfavourable outcome in 17.9%( n=19) which is statistically significant with p value 0.00007 and C. I (1.7-5). Abnormal neurological exam. at discharge was associated with unfavourable outcome in 16.9%(n=18) with a statistically significant p value 0.0004and C.I (1.5-4.4). Abnormal EEG was associated with unfavourable outcome in 16%(n = 17) which was statistically significant with a p value 0.001 and C.I (1.44.6). Abnormal cranial ultrasonography or MRI Brain was associated with unfavourable outcome in 16%(n=17) which was statistically significant with p value 0.012 and C. I (1.153.8).

As far as aetiology based outcome is concerned, Hypoxic Ischemic encephalopathy was found to be strongly associated with developmental delay in 33% (n=35) with a relative risk (R.R) of 2.26, C.I (1.48-3.44) and p value 0.000044.Metabolic causes like hypoglycemia and hypocalcaemia have favourable outcome with developmental delay seen in only 6%(n=7) and R. R 0.36 and significant p value 0.00028. This is because most of the metabolic causes being reversible and early diagnosis and treatment prevent long Neurologicalsequeale. Meningitis was associated with developmental delay in 5.6% (n=6) with statistically significant p value 0.047, relative risk of 0.54 and C.I (0.271.10). Intraventricularhaemorrhage(IVH) was associated with developmental delay in 1.8% (n=2) with a R.R of 1.34 and C.I (0.59- 3.06). This was statistically insignificant with p value 0.55, possibly due to small sample size of preterm babies. Postnatal epilepsy was associated with developmental delay in 2.8%(n=3) with R. R 2.06, Confidence interval (C.I) (1.68-2.5) and p value 0.07. We found 61.66% (n=74) babies with neonatal seizures had normal development, 11.66% (n=14) had developmental delay in or two domains less than 70%, 8.33%(n=10) had Global Developmental Delay, 5%( n=6) developed cerebral palsy, 1.66%(n=2) had postnatal epilepsy, 6.66%( n=8) died due to complications and 5% (n=6) were lost to follow up (Table 1).

Outcome	At 6 months		At 12 months	
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Normal	68	56.6%	74	61.66%
Developmental delay in one or two domains < 70%	24	20%	14	11.66%
Global developmental delay	6	5%	10	8.33%
Cerebral palsy	6	5%	6	5%
Postnatal epilepsy	2	1.6%	2	1.6%
Death	8	6.6%	8	6.66%
Loss to follow up	6	5%	6	5%

Fable 1:Neurodevelopmenta	l outcome of neonatal	seizures at 6 and	. 12 months
---------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------	-------------

Table 2: Association of	Etiology of Neonatal s	seizures v	vith Develo	pmental delay a	it 1 year of ag	ge.
Outcome ►	Developmental	Total	Relative	Confidence	Chisquare	P-Valu

Outcome 🕨	Develo	Developmental		Total	Relative	Confidence	Chisquare	P-Value
Etiology ►	- Delay				Risk (R.R)	internal (C.I)		
Hypoxic schemic		Yes	No		2.26	1.48-3.44	16.7	0.000044
Encephalopathy	Yes	35	14	49				
	No	18	39	57				
Metabolic causes	Metabolic causes Yes 7 24 31 0.3	0.36	0.36 0.18-0.72	13.17	0.00028			
	No	46	29	75				
Meningitis	Yes	6	14	20	0.54	0.27-1.10	3.9	0.047
	No	47	39	86				
Intraventricular	Yes	2	1	3	1.346	0.59-3.06	0.34	0.55
Hemorrhage (IVH)	NO	51	52	103				
Postnatal Epilepsy	YES	3	0	3	2.06	1.68-2.5	3.08	0.07
	No	50	53	103				

Variables		Unfavour- able out- comes (n%)	Favourable outcomes (n%)	Total	Confidence interval	P-value
Mode of delivery	NVD	10 (9.4%)	29 (27.3%)	39	0.5-2.2	>0.05
	LSCS	15 (14.1%)	52 (49%)	67		
Gestational age	Preterm	19 (17.9%)	12 (11.3%)	31	1.8-5.2	<0.05
	Term	15 (14%)	60 (56.6%)	75		
APGAR at 5 min	<7	20 (18.8%)	19 (17.9%)	39	1.3-3.9	0.002
	>7	15 (14%)	52 (49%)	67		
Need for	Extra	21 (19.8%)	13 (12%)	34	1.5-3.9	0.0002
resuscitation after 5 min of birth	Routine	18 (16.9%)	54 (50.9%)	72		
Onset of seizures	<24 hours	25 (23.5%)	23 (21.6%)	48	1.34-4.0	0.0015
	>24 hours	13 (12.2%)	45(42.4%)	58		
Neonatal Status	Present	19 (17.9%)	13 (12.2%)	32	1.7-5.0	0.00007
epilepticus	Absent	15 (14%)	59 (55.6%)	74		
Neurological	Abnormal	18 (16.9%)	14 (13.2%)	32	1.5-4.4	0.0004
examination	Normal	16 (15%)	58 (54.7%)	74		
EEG	Abnormal	17 (16%)	13 (12.2%)	30	1.4-4.6	0.001
	Normal	12 (11.3%)	43 (40.5%)	55		
USG cranium /MRI	Abnormal	17 (16%)	19 (17.9%)	36	1.15-3.8	0.012
brain	Normal	12 (11.3%)	42 (39.6%)	54		

**Table 3:**Association of different prognostic variables of neonatal seizures with neurodevelopmental outcome.

### **Discussion:**

In our study, 7234 outborn neonates were admitted in Neonatology Division of which 367 had neonatal seizures, showing an incidence of 5.07%, similar to other studies like Perrine Plouinet al. (2013) <sup>[35]</sup> who reported an estimated incidence between 1.5 to 5.5 per thousand live births. After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, 120 neonates were selected for the study. Baseline clinical data was obtained with proper history and exam. and appropriate lab. investigations were done for etiologic screening. Data was entered in case record form. All enrolled cases were investigated and managed as per standard hospital protocol. Before discharge, neurological assessment using Amiel tison method was done in every baby and recorded. These were kept on regular follow up in

high risk neonatal OPD conducted twice a week and again followed up at 6 and 12 months to access their neurodevelopmental outcome. DDST-II scale was used for neurodevelopmental assessment. After assessing neurodevelopmental outcome of neonatal seizures at 6 and 12 months, we found that at 6 months: 56.6% of infants demonstrated normal development. At 12 months, this increased to 61.6%. This finding aligns with Glass et al. (2009) <sup>[37]</sup> who reported that approximately 50-60% of infants with neonatal seizures exhibited normal neurodevelopmental outcomes by 12 months<sup>[7]</sup>. However, variations in the definition of "normal development", underlyingcauses, population studied or interventions used and assessment tools can affect these percentages.

At 6 months, 20% of infants had developmental

delays in one or two domains with individual DO less than 70%, but at 12 months this proportion decreased to 11%. This could be explained by continuous CNS maturation and early stimulation/ intervention leading to improved developmental outcome at 12 months. This necessitates early prognostication and intervention. In our study, Global Developmental Delay (GDD) was found in 5% of infants at 6 months, increasing to 8.3% at 12 months. Overall developmental delay was seen in 26.65% cases. These results align with previous studies like Pellegrin S et al (2019) [11] and Spagnoli C et al (2024) <sup>[12]</sup> which reported overall developmental delay of 30-40% including GDD. The proportion of cerebral palsy cases at 6 and 12 months was 5%, comparable to previous studies. Glass et al. (2009) observed a cerebral palsy rate of approximately 6-8% in their cohort by 12 months <sup>[37]</sup>. This minor variation may be due to differences in underlying causes of seizures or population studied. Tekgul et al. (2006) reported cerebral palsy in 5-10% of infants who experienced neonatal seizures, particularly in those with severe hypoxicischemic encephalopathy (HIE)<sup>[13]</sup>. Our findings fall within this range. Postnatal Epilepsy was seen in 1.6% of infants at 6 and 12 months. Pisani F et al. (2012) reported during their seven-year follow-up that approximately 17.6% of infants with neonatal seizures developed postnatal epilepsy<sup>[14]</sup>. This higher rate could be due to differences in population studied, longer follow-up, genetic predispositions, limited genetic studies in our setup, or severity of initial brain injury. Among enrolled neonates, mortality was 6.6% after one-year follow-up. Similar results were obtained in other studies like Tekgul et al. (2006), who reported a 7% mortality rate in infants with neonatal seizures, particularly those associated with severe HIE<sup>[13]</sup>. The mortality rate has significantly decreased with time, possibly due to improved antenatal, intranatal and postnatal care. However, mortality after neonatal seizures ranges from 7-30% as per literature review. Heljic S et al (2016) reported 23% mortality after one year<sup>[15]</sup>. The difference in mortality rates across studies could be due to different sample sizes or population characteristics. Overall, comparison of our study's findings with other research indicates both consistency and variation in neurodevelopmental outcomes following neonatal seizures. Our study shows similar trends in prevalence of normal development, developmental delays, cerebral palsy, and mortality. However, there are differences in rates of postnatal epilepsy and developmental delays in specific domains, which could be attributed to differences in study populations, interventions, and follow-up protocols.

Our study showed that neonates with severe HIE had a significantly higher risk of developmental delay (R.R = 2.26, C.I. 1.48-3.44, p = 0.000044), consistent with Glass et al. (2017)<sup>[9]</sup> and Sanjeev Sudia et al. [6] who reported poor outcomes with HIE-III. Neonatal seizures from metabolic causes had a favorable outcome, with a lower risk of developmental delay (R.R = 0.36, C.I. = 0.18-0.72, p = 0.00028). Treated hypocalcemia seizures were not linked to developmental delay, aligning with Yi-Chieh Huang et al <sup>[17]</sup>. Hypoglycemic seizures showed varied outcomes, with R Shah et al [20] noting no universal safe blood glucose threshold. We aggressively treated hypoglycemia in high-risk neonates, finding 2 of 16 hypoglycemic seizure cases with developmental delay, one developing West Syndrome and another with visual defects and occipital lobe atrophic changes, both with severe hypoglycemia. Early identification of metabolic causes can prevent seizures and improve long-term outcomes. Christopher J D McKinlay et al. found that maintaining blood glucose at least 47 mg/dL did not increase neurosensory impairment risk [19], supported by Ramesh Bhat Y. et al. [16] and Rasmussen et al [18].

To conclude, Mild and transient hypoglycemia when treated early has less chances of neurodevelopmental impairment as brain utilizes ketones, amino acids till hypoglycemia gets corrected. However, severe and recurrent hypoglycemia is definitely associated with neurodegenerative changes in brain. It may lead to developmental delay, cerebral palsy or even death, Emily W.Y. Tam et al <sup>[21]</sup>.

In our study, neonatal meningitis was associated with developmental delay with a statistically significant association (R.R=0.54, C. I 0.27-1.10, p =0.047). This is similar to the study by Darrah N Haffner et al.<sup>[22]</sup> where 30% survivors had development delay. Another study by G Klinger et al.2000<sup>[23]</sup> showed 16.8%(17) infants having moderate to severe disability at one year. Infants with IVH showed a relative risk of 1.34

for developmental delay with C. I. 0.59-3.06 and p value=0.55. The statistically insignificant p value could be due to small sample size of extreme and very low preterm babies excluded from our study. Literature suggests grade III-IV IVH is associated with poor neurodevelopmental outcome. Srinivas Bolisetty et al. [24] found infants with grade III-IV intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH; n = 93) had higher rates of developmental delay (17.5%), cerebral palsy (30%), deafness (8.6%), and blindness (2.2%). Infants who developed postnatal epilepsy had the highest relative risk of developmental delay (R.R = 2.06, C.I 1.68-2.5, p = 0.07). A study by Pisani F et al (2007) <sup>[25]</sup> shows post neonatal epilepsy presents with distinct EEG patterns and seizure types, is highly refractory, and carries an adverse prognosis. Battaglia D et al. [26] concluded that Developmental and Epileptic Encephalopathies are usually genetic and carry poor neurodevelopmental outcome. In conclusion, HIE III, post-natal epilepsy and meningitis are associated with the highest risk of developmental delay, while metabolic causes seem to carry a favourable prognosis.

Association between perinatal and neonatal factors affecting neurodevelopmental outcome of neonatal seizures:

1. Mode of Delivery (NVD vs. LSCS) Our study shows no statistically significant association between mode of delivery (Normal Vaginal Delivery [NVD] vs. Lower Segment Caesarean Section [LSCS]) and neurodevelopmental outcomes (p > 0.05). Both groups had comparable incidence of unfavourable outcomes. Previous studies show conflicting results. Some report elective caesarean sections may reduce HIE risk, a major cause of neonatal seizures. However, a cohort study by Zhu JJ et al. (2014) <sup>[27]</sup> suggested no significant difference in long-term outcomes.

2. Gestational Age (Preterm vs. Term) A significant association was found between gestational age and neurodevelopmental outcomes (p < 0.05). Preterm infants showed higher rate of unfavourable outcomes (17.9%) compared to term infants. This could be attributed to high risk injury to immature brain of preterm neonates. Preterm birth is a well-established risk factor for adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes in neonates with seizures. Studies by Song IG et al. (2023) <sup>[28]</sup>and Pisani et al. (2016) <sup>[29]</sup>have consistently shown that preterm infants have poorer outcomes compared to term infants due to increased vulnerability of the immature brain to injury.

3. APGAR Score at 5 Minutes Infants with an APGAR score < 7 at 5 minutes had more unfavourable outcomes (18.8%) than those with a score >= 7 (14%), with a p-value of 0.002. Low scores are linked to higher risks of neonatal encephalopathy and poor outcomes. Studies by Razaz N et al. (2019) <sup>[30]</sup> highlight risks of seizures and developmental delays.

4. Need for Resuscitation After 5 Minutes Neonates needing resuscitation beyond 5 minutes had worse outcomes (19.8% unfavourable) compared to those needing routine care (16.9% unfavourable), with a p-value of 0.0002. Prolonged resuscitation indicates underlying asphysia or perinatal complications leading to hypoxic-ischemic injury, as shown by Perlman et al. (2016).<sup>[31]</sup>

5. Onset of Seizures (< 24 hours vs.> 24 hours) Earlyonset seizures had a higher rate of unfavourable outcomes (23.5%) than late-onset seizures (12.2%), with a p-value of 0.0015. Early-onset seizures relate to acute brain injuries like HIE, posing a higher risk for long-term impairment. Studies by Anand V et al. (2014)<sup>[32]</sup> found early-onset seizures strongly predict poor outcomes.

6. Neonatal Status Epilepticus Neonates with status epilepticus had more unfavourable outcomes (17.9%) than those without (14%), with a p-value of 0.00007. Status epilepticus is linked to high mortality and morbidity. Studies by Shellhaas et al. (2018)<sup>[33]</sup> associate it with extensive brain injury and poor outcomes.

7. Neurological Examination (Abnormal vs. Normal) An abnormal neurological examination at discharge was linked to worse outcomes (16.9% unfavorable), with a p-value of 0.0004. Neurological abnormalities strongly predict adverse outcomes in neonates with seizures, as shown by K.Famraet al<sup>[8].</sup>

8. EEG Findings Abnormal EEG findings were linked to unfavourable outcomes (16%), with a p-value of 0.001. Abnormal EEG patterns like burst suppression and ictal spread to the contralateral hemisphere predict poor outcomes<sup>[10]</sup>. Wusthoff et al. (2019) <sup>[34]</sup> reported similar findings.

9. Imaging Findings (USG Cranium/MRI Brain) Neonates with abnormal cranial ultrasound or MRI findings had worse outcomes (16% unfavourable), with a p-value of 0.012. Abnormal neuroimaging To conclude, these factors impact the neurodevelopmental outcome of neonatal seizures. These findings align with existing literature, emphasizing the importance of early identification and intervention.

### **Conclusion:**

Hvpoxic-ischemic encephalopathy (HIE) has been identified as being strongly associated with developmental delay, accounting for the highest number of cases. Developmental delay was also observed in instances of meningitis, intraventricular haemorrhage, and postnatal epilepsy. In contrast, metabolic causes such as hypoglycemia and hypocalcaemia tend to have favourable outcomes when treated promptly. However, prolonged and recurrent hypoglycemia is linked to impaired neurodevelopmental outcomes. Poor prognostic factors associated with impaired neurodevelopmental outcomes include gestational age at birth, APGAR score at 5 minutes, the necessity for resuscitation after 5 minutes, neonatal status epilepticus, seizure onset within 24 hours, abnormal neurological examination at discharge,

and abnormal EEG or neuroimaging findings. The most common cause of neonatal seizures was found to be HIE, followed by metabolic causes such as hypoglycemia, hypocalcaemia, and infections like meningitis. This underscores the need for improvements in antenatal, perinatal, and postnatal care of neonates. Additionally, comprehensive follow-up and individualized care plans are essential for enhancing long-term outcomes.

### Limitations:

Due to non-availability of aEEG/cEEG in our setting, some neonates with only electrographic seizures could have been missed leading to decreasein sample size. This emphasizes the need of aEEG/ cEEG to monitor subtle seizures so that these patients can be kept on follow up to assess their neurodevelopmental outcome.

### **Research Implications:**

- Neurodevelopmental outcome of neonatal seizures based on Continuous EEG monitoring needs to be studied in detail to predict outcome of even subtle seizures.
- Long-term and multicenter studies are required in order to to develop accurate risk models of poor neurodevelopmental outcome.

### **Ethical Approval:**

Institutional Ethics Committee of Government Medical College Srinagar :F(BOPGS-Medicine) Acad/ KU/23 Dated-31-08-2023)

Source(s) of support in the form of grants, equipment, drugs or all of these: Nil

### Financial Support and sponsorship:

Nil

### **References:**

- Uria-Avellanal C, Marlow N, Rennie JM. Outcome following neonatal seizures. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med. 2013 Aug;18(4):224-32.
- Pisani F, Spagnoli C. Neonatal Seizures: A Review of Outcomes and Outcome Predictors. Neuropediatrics. 2016 Jan;47(1):12-9.
- 3. Pressler RM, Cilio MR, Mizrahi EM, Moshé SL, Nunes ML, Plouin P et al . The ILAE classification of seizures and the epilepsies.2021 Mar;62(3):615-628.
- 4. Vasudevan C, Levene M. Epidemiology and aetiology of neonatal seizures. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med. 2013 Aug;18(4):185-91.

### **Conflicts of interest:**

There are no conflicts of interest

- Pisani F, Facini C, Bianchi E, Giussani G, Piccolo B, Beghi E. Incidence of neonatal seizures, perinatal risk factors for epilepsy and mortality after neonatal seizures in the province of Parma, Italy. Epilepsia. 2018 Sep;59(9):1764-1773.
- Sanjeev S, Pramod KB, Niranjan N, Prathyusha J, Sarika S, Ayush B. Clinicoetiological profile and outcome of neonatal seizures. 2015 Nov;2(4) :389-394.
- Lugli L, Bariola MC, Guidotti I, Pugliese M, Roversi MF, Bedetti L et al Neurodevelopmental outcome of neonatal seizures: A longitudinal study. Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 2024 Mar;49:17-26.
- 8. Famra K, Batra P, Aggarwal A, Banerjee BD. Prevalence

and predictors of adverse outcomes in neonatal seizures. J Neonatal Perinatal Med. 2022;15(1):29-35.

- 9. Glass HC, Kan J, Bonifacio SL, Ferriero DM. Neonatal seizures: treatment practices among term and preterm infants. Pediatr Neurol. 2012 Feb;46(2):111-5.
- Murray DM, O'Connor CM, Ryan CA, Korotchikova I, Boylan GB. Early EEG Grade and Outcome at 5 Years After Mild Neonatal Hypoxic Ischemic Encephalopathy. Pediatrics. 2016 Oct;138(4):.2016-0659.
- Pellegrin S, Munoz FM, Padula M, Heath PT, Meller L, Top K, et al .Neonatal seizures: Case definition & guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of immunization safety data. Vaccine. 2019 Dec 10;37(52):7596-7609.
- Spagnoli, C., Pisani, F. Acute symptomatic seizures in newborns: a narrative review. Acta Epileptologica.2024;6( 5):024-00151
- 13. Tekgul H, Gauvreau K, Soul J, Murphy L, Robertson R, Stewart J et al .The current etiologic profile and neurodevelopmental outcome of seizures in term newborn infants. Pediatrics. 2006 Apr;117(4):1270-80.
- Pisani F, Piccolo B, Cantalupo G. et al. Neonatal seizures and postneonatal epilepsy: a 7-y follow-up study. 2012;Pediatr Res 72: 186–193.
- Heljic S, Uzicanin S, Catibusic F, Zubcevic S. Predictors of Mortality in Neonates with Seizures; a Prospective Cohort Study. Med Arch. 2016 Jun;70(3):182-5.
- Ramesh Bhat Y , Sandeep PD.Metabolic Abnormalities in Association with Clinical Neonatal Seizures in an Indian Tertiary Care Centre. 2022 Jul;J Pediatr Intensive Care DOI: 10.1055/s-0042-1757479.
- Huang YC, Chao YC, Lee IC. Syndromic and non-syndromic etiologies causing neonatal hypocalcemic seizures. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022 Nov 10; 13:998675. PMID: 36440223.
- Rasmussen AH, Wehberg S, Pørtner F, Larsen AM, Filipsen K, Christesen HT. Neurodevelopmental outcomes after moderate to severe neonatal hypoglycemia. Eur J Pediatr. 2020 Dec;179(12):1981-1991.
- McKinlay CJ, Alsweiler JM, Ansell JM, Anstice NS, Chase JG, Gamble GD et al Neonatal Glycemia and Neurodevelopmental Outcomes at 2 Years. N Engl J Med. 2015 Oct 15;373(16):1507-18.
- Shah R, Harding J, Brown J, McKinlay C. Neonatal Glycaemia and Neurodevelopmental Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Neonatology. 2019;115(2):116-126.
- 21. Tam EW, Haeusslein LA, Bonifacio SL, Glass HC, Rogers EE, Jeremy RJ et al. Hypoglycemia is associated with increased risk for brain injury and adverse neurodevelopmental outcome in neonates at risk for encephalopathy. J Pediatr. 2012 Jul;161(1):88-93.

- 22. Haffner DN, Machie M, Hone E, Said RR, Maitre NL. Predictors of Neurodevelopmental Impairment After Neonatal Bacterial Meningitis. J Child Neurol. 2021 Oct;36(11):968-973.
- 23. Klinger G, Chin CN, Beyene J, Perlman M. Predicting the outcome of neonatal bacterial meningitis. Pediatrics. 2000 Sep;106(3):477-82.
- 24. Bolisetty S, Dhawan A, Abdel-Latif M, Bajuk B, Stack J, Lui K.Intraventricularhemorrhage and neurodevelopmental outcomes in preterm infants. Pediatrics. 2014 Jan;133(1):55-62.
- 25. Pisani F, Cerminara C, Fusco C, Sisti L. Neonatal status epilepticus vs recurrent neonatal seizures: clinical findings and outcome. Neurology. 2007 Dec 4;69(23):2177-85.
- 26. Battaglia D, Randò T, Deodato F, Bruccini G, Baglio G, Frisone MF et al. Epileptic disorders with onset in the first year of life: neurological and cognitive outcome. Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 1999;3(3):95-103.
- 27. Zhu JJ, Bao YY, Zhang GL, Ma LX, Wu MY. No relationship between mode of delivery and neonatal mortality and neurodevelopment in very low birth weight infants aged two years. World J Pediatr. 2014 Aug;10(3):227-31.
- 28. Song IG. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of preterm infants. Clin Exp Pediatr. 2023 Jul;66(7):281-287.
- 29. Pisani F, Facini C, Pelosi A, Mazzotta S, Spagnoli C, Pavlidis E. Neonatal seizures in preterm newborns: A predictive model for outcome. Eur J Paediatr Neurol. 2016 Mar;20(2):243-251.
- Razaz N, Cnattingius S, Persson M, Tedroff K, Lisonkova S, Joseph KS. One-minute and five-minute Apgar scores and child developmental health at 5 years of age: a populationbased cohort study in British Columbia, Canada. BMJ Open. 2019 May 9;9(5): e027655.
- Perlman JM et al. Neonatal Resuscitation and Long-term Neurodevelopment. Neoreviews (2016) 17 (8): e435– e446.
- Anand V, Nair PM. Neonatal seizures: Predictors of adverse outcome. J Pediatr Neurosci. 2014 May;9(2):97-9.
- Shellhaas RA, Courtney JW, NumisLA, CatherineJC, Shavonne LM, Abend NS et al. Early-life epilepsy after acute symptomatic neonatal seizures: A prospective multicenter study. 2021June; Epilepsia. 2021;00:1–12
- 34. Wusthoff CJ. Diagnosing neonatal seizures and status epilepticus. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2013 Apr;30(2):115-21.
- 35. Plouin P, Kaminska A. Neonatal seizures. Handb Clin Neurol. 2013;111:467-76.
- 36. Wu YW, Monsell SE, Glass HC, Wisnowski JL, Mathur AM, McKinstry RC et al .How well does neonatal neuroimaging correlate with neurodevelopmental outcomes in infants with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy? Pediatr Res. 2023 Sep;94(3):1018-1025.

# A Case Control Study on Impact of Screen Time on Language Development in Children Between 2–5 Years of Age

Dr. Shikhar Gupta<sup>1</sup>,Dr. Shally Awasthi<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Chandrakanta<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Gunjan Parasher<sup>1</sup>

King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India Address for correspondence Dr. Gunjan Parasher King George's Medical University Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh – 226003 Phone: +91-8171968211 / +91-8853268150 Email: parashergunjan1@gmail.com



Received : 17 SEPTEMBER 2024; Accepted: 25 FEBRUARY 2025

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** This study attempts to find a correlation between parental reported language delay in children and their screen media usage habits. Various other factors like the effect of the type of content viewed and behavior changes have been studied.

**Aims and Objectives:** To evaluate the association between screen media usage patterns—including duration, type of content, and behavioral changes—and parental reports of language delay in children.

How to cite this article: Gupta S, Awasthi S, Chandrakanta, Parasher G. A Case Control Study on Impact of Screen Time on Language Development in Children Between 2-5 Years of Age. Indian J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2025;3(1): 10-18.DOI:10.5281/ zenodo.15124689

#### **Keywords**:

- Screen Time
- Language Delay,
- Electronic Devices
- Children, India

**Materials & Methods:** A case-control study was conducted in outpatients' setting of a tertiary care center. Controls were selected based on normal developmental milestones, after excluding any chronic illnesses. Cases exhibited language delay according to the LEST (Language Evaluation Scale Trivandrum scale) excluding any functional, structural, and syndromic conditions. The DSEQ (Development and Evaluation of the Digital Screen Exposure Questionnaire) was used to assess media usage habits of both cases and controls. Screen time data was collected and analyzed separately for television and handheld electronic devices.

**Results:** A total of 54 cases and 54 controls were recruited from November 2022 to October 2023. On univariate analysis, total screen time exceeding two hours increased the odds (OR=4.48 95% CI 1.98-10.17) (p-value<0.05) of language delay while watching poems, rhymes and other educational videos as primary content - reduced the odds of developing language delay (OR= 0.3495% CI 0.13 to 0.89) (p-value=0.02). On conditional logistic regression, an excess screen exposure increased the risk (OR = 1.6895% CI 1.01-2.80) while watching educational content had a protective effect (OR=0.2195% CI 0.06-0.68) from language delay, controlling for age and gender.

**Conclusion:** Children developing language delay had significantly higher exposure of screen time as compared to normally developing children. Language developmental delay might be associated with the type of content watched by the children. Hence awareness about effects of screen time on language development has to be informed to the parents and society.

Indian Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics (Official Journal of IAP Chapter of Neurodevelopmental Pediatrics)

### Introduction:

In India, accessibility to technology has boomed over the past decade, outpacing the understanding of its moderation by the consumers. These changes particularly affect growing children who are eager to divulge. Consequently, parents bear the responsibility of regulation of media and fostering social interactions. Various studies highlight a surge in screen time among preschoolers, surpassing recommended limits set by the Indian Academy of Pediatrics (IAP). One of its studies published in 2019 shows around 50-80% of children were exposed to screen for a duration that was much above the daily limit <sup>[1]</sup>. In view of the escalating prevalence of screen usage, the IAP recommends no screen time for children under 2 years and no more than 1 hour for those of age 2-5 years. A crosssectional study conducted in 2019 in western India reported only 17.2% of participants met these recommendations<sup>[2]</sup>.

Handheld devices, now more prevalent than television, serve as common babysitters and recent literature suggests it can be more harmful than beneficial for our children. The IAP states that introducing digital screens and audio at an early stage can impede the development of social skills in toddlers and preschoolers. Karani et al did a systematic review to describe the multifactorial effect of screen time on language development of a child <sup>[3]</sup>. Not just the language, but the quality of programs along with increasing screen time has also been linked to a child's language development as well as their sleep patterns <sup>[4,5].</sup>

Language delay can manifest as primary (with no underlying disorder) or secondary, stemming from underlying conditions such as autism spectrum disorder, hearing loss and selective mutism among others <sup>[6]</sup>. Our study encompassed the<sup>4</sup> post-covid pandemic view on screen habits of children with primary language delay, comparing it with normally developing children. We wished to investigate a correlation between development of language delay in a child with duration of exposure to screen. Apart from duration of screen exposure, we also enquired about the content quality and behavioral changes in the two groups.

Increased screen time and language development delay lacks independent studies in the Indian subcontinent and the scarcity of data on primary language delay exacerbates the challenge of late diagnosis and treatment. This is worrisome since persistent delays burden children with attention and social difficulties later in life <sup>[7,8,9]</sup>.

Few pre-pandemic studies from different parts of the world show a positive correlation between language delay and screen time and identified a high screen time as an important risk factor for developing language delay <sup>[3,10,11]</sup>. Our case-control study delves into the screen habits of children with language delays, comparing various parameters to typically developing children.

### **Materials & Methods:**

Study Design and Sample Population This study employed a case-control design, spanning data collection from November 2022 to October 2023, at the Pediatric Outpatient Department of a tertiary medical center located in North India. The presence of language delay was determined by Language Evaluation Scale Trivandrum (LEST) available for age ranges of 0-3 years and 3-6 years [12,13] followed by evaluating for secondary causes of language delay in parent reported cases of language delay as our study focuses only on primary language delay. To gauge screen time habits, the Development and Evaluation of Digital Screen Exposure Questionnaire (DSEQ) was utilized <sup>[14]</sup> in all participants of the study.

A case was a child presenting with parent reported language delay to our OPD and who screen positive with our language assessment tool (i.e. LEST). Children were included only after evaluating for all of the following- concurrent global developmental delay, autism spectrum disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, birth asphyxia, or those with previously diagnosed neurological conditions. Such children were excluded from the study. Additionally, all cases had to exhibit normal audiometry. Followed by relevant history, examination and investigations necessary to rule out secondary causes, parents were asked for their consent to participate in the study. DSEQ (our tool to assess screen habits) was filled by the parents (if literate) or by our coauthors on their behalf (if parents could not read and/or write).

Similarly, controls were included in the study. Controls were also children attending our OPD but with acute illnesses that apparently did not influence overall<sup>6</sup> growth or any particular domain of development. The selection of based on exact one-to-one controls was matching, based on age and gender, and a setcriteria, which included - normal development in all domains and the absence of any history of chronic medical illness (ear problems, severe infectious diseases, surgical procedures, cleft palate, low birth weight, premature birth, any previously documented disorders like Down syndrome, epilepsy or birth asphyxia). A child who could be matched for any one of the cases (based on age and gender) and satisfying rest of the inclusion criteria was included in the study following informed consent. The DSEQ questionnaire was applied to controls alike to assess their screen habits.

Finally, 54 cases and 54 controls were included in the study. Measurement The Language Evaluation Scale Trivandrum (LEST) was employed to assess language delay in children within the age brackets of 0-3 years and 3-6 years. This tool, specifically developed in India, was chosen for its alignment with our sociodemographic context, ease of availability and applicability. It primarily focuses on expressive speech delay and categorizes children as either having or not having language delay.

collection The data instrument, the Development and Evaluation of the Digital Screen Exposure Questionnaire (DSEQ), is a self-reporting questionnaire. The initial section of this auestionnaire contains essential information such as the child's age, gender, place of residence, and details about the occupation and income of each family member. These details were amalgamated to gauge the socioeconomic status.<sup>[7]</sup>

To reduce potential confounding variables, age and gender were matched, allowing for a permissible variance of +/-2 months in age matching.

Subsequently, screen time was calculated as an average of daily usage during weekdays and weekends, with separate considerations for mobile and television screen habits. The questionnaire inquired about the type of content viewed, the duration of each program, the frequency of media device usage throughout the day, and supervision (e.g., whether the child uses a mobile on weekdays, for how long does he normally sit in front of mobile/television, the frequency of usage in a day, and involvement in video games, is there always a guardian to monitor the screen habits of the child?). The third section of the questionnaire focused on behavioral changes, such as imitation of characters on screen, discussions about characters, mood alterations (e.g., whether the child becomes aggressive or did not eat when denied access to a mobile or television or the reverse- if the child stopped crying or completed the food only and only if given some screen exposure or if choices of food were affected by programs watched?) and sleep alterations. Here, sleep alterations were judged by parent's experience if the child slept on most nights only after seeing some mobile or television screen or if it has increased the sleep latency, affecting the sleep timings of the child as compared to before. Questions like 'Do you feel mobile or television screen has become a necessity for your child, before going to sleep?' were put up in case of ambiguity. Categorical variables required a "yes" or "no" response format.

### **Statistical Analysis:**

The analysis involved coding variables from the questionnaire, including gender (male or female), age (in years), locality (urban or village or urbanized village), and8 socioeconomic status (upper or middle or lower). Furthermore, it included the durationof mobile, television, and overall screen time (in hours). Responses gathered in a "yes" or "no" format were- video games as the primary share of screen time, poems and rhymes as the primary share of screen time, imitating the content viewed, experiencing aggression when denied access to mobile devices, and compromising on food or sleep (as explained above). Co-viewing was not incorporated into the final analysis due to incomplete data.

For continuous data, descriptive statistics were presented as both mean and standard deviation. while categorical data were conveyed as median values, percentages, and counts. Univariate analysis was conducted to compare cases and controls, utilizing twotailed t-tests for continuous data and chisquare tests for discrete values. We performed multivariate conditional logistic regressions using forward selection method. All the variables with association between the case and control groups at p<0.1 from univariate

analysis were incorporated in the conditional logistic regression analyses .Other variables were included one by one to obtain model with highest sensitivity. Analysis was done using SPSS version 29 (Chicago II, USA).

### **Results**:

Table furnishes foundational 1 the characteristics of our dataset. A total of 108 matching cases and controls were analyzed. The average age was 3.35 and 3.40 years for cases and controls respectively(p=0.82). In each group, the total number of male participants was higher, comprising 74% of the sample (n=40). The median screen time usage among cases amounted to 3 hours (interquartile range (IOR)=1.5-5 hours), approximately threefold the duration reported in the control group, which was 1.05 hours (IQR=0.5-2.63).

**Table 1**: Sociodemographic characteristics of Cases and Controls

Cases		Controls	P value
Total	54	54	-
Gender*: Male	40(74%)	40(74%)	
: Female	14 (26%)	14(26%)	
Average age* (in Years)	3.35	3.40	0.82
Socio-economic Status			
Upper (includes upper	7 (13.4%)	9(16.6%)	0.5
and upper middle)			
Middle (includes middle and lower middle)	33(61%)	30(55.5%)	Ref
Lower (includes upper	14 (25.6%)	15(24%)	0.7
lower and lower)			
Average Screen Time (in Hours)	3.48	1.90	<0.01

The daily total screen time usage was higher in cases (mean=3.48, standard deviation (SD)=2.5, 95% confidence interval [ (CI) 2.78-4.15)] compared to controls (mean=1.90, 95% CI 1.37 to 2.43) (p=0.0005, alpha level 0.5) (Figure 1 ). This observation underscores a robust correlation between excessive screen time and the presence of language delay. The elevated daily average of screen time was primarily attributed to an increased mobile screen time among cases (mean=2.38, SD=2.15, 95% CI 1.79-2.97) in comparison to controls (mean=1.08, SD=1.08, 95% CI 0.79-138) (p<0.05, alpha level 0.05) (Figure 1). The duration of television viewing did not yield statistically significant results.



Fig 1 - Distribution of screen exposure among cases and controls.

On univariate analysis, it was determined that an overall screen time exceeding 2 hours (OR=4.48 95% CI 1.98-10.17) (p-value<0.005) was a significant risk factor (Table 2). Children who watched poems, rhymes or some form of educational content as major share of screen time had around 70% lesser odds of

developing language delay than those who didn't (other content included games, YouTube shorts and adult10 content) (OR= 0.34 95%CI 0.13 to 0.89) (p-value=0.02). No other factors, such as type of locality, behavioral aspects, or manifestations of aggression, exhibited a significant association (Table 3).

 Table 2: Univariate analysis between screen time and language delay

Variable		Cases(n=54)	Control(n=54)	p value
Age (in years)	2-3	30	30	
	3-4	12	12	
	4-5	12	12	
Gender	Male	40	40	
	Female	14	14	
Locality	Urban	29	27	0.7
	Non-urban	25	27	
Total Screentime	<1 hour	7	17	ref

	1-2 hour	7	16	0.9
	>= 2 hours	40	21	<0.05 (OR=4.48 ;95%; CI 1.98-10.17)
TV usage in hours	<1 hour	34	36	ref
	1-2 hour	4	10	0.17
	>= 2 hours	16	8	0.12
Mobile usage in hours	<1 hour	25	29	ref
	1-2 hours	10	9	0.6
	>= 2 hours	19	16	0.07
Variable		Cases(n=54)	Controls(n=54)	p-value
Content	Poems/ rhymes	8	18	0.02
	Non informative content/ videos and games	46	36	OR= 0.34 95%CI 0.13 to 0.89
Imitate/Talk to character on screen	YES	23	29	0.24
	NO	31	25	
Aggression	Yes	18	16	0.67
	No	36	38	
Compromise on food/sleep	Yes	10	10	1
	No	44	44	

 Table 3: Univariate analysis of Screen Related Habits with Language Delay.

	Odds Ratio[exp(b)]	95%CI	p-value
Gender	1.0		
Age	1.0		
Overall screen time exceeding 2 hours	1.68	1.01-2.80	0.04

Imitate characters	0.46	0.17-1.23	0.12
Poems/rhymes as prime share of screen time	0.21	0.06-0.6	<0.01

Multivariate regression was performed to identify the risk factors of language delay inchildren with and without the disease. The dependent variable for logistic regression wasthe presence or absence of language delay i.e. 1 for cases and 0 for controls. Sinceage and gender were used as matching variables, they were included in the regressionmodel. Total screen time (sum of both mobile and television screen time) and individual screen time from mobile or television were not included together in onemodel because of risk of multicollinearity. In the final model, we included 5parametersgender, total screen time, watching age, poem as predominant content andimitation of characters on screen - for our regression model as they yielded the highestsensitivity. Total screen time exceeding 2 hours (OR = 95% CI 1.01-2.80) wasassociated with 1.68 increased odds while watching poems, rhymes educational content(OR=0.21 95% CI or 0.06-0.68) was associated with a reduced odds ratio (p<0.05) (Table 3). Changes in behavior such as imitation of characters did not yield significantresults (p value=0.12). Excess screen exposure and type of content viewed were thetwo most consistent factors for influencing development of language delay in ouranalysis.

### **DISCUSSION:**

By analyzing the Digital Screen Exposure Questionnaire (DSEQ) completed by parents, we observed that 40 cases (74%) and 21 controls (38.8%) reported screen time usage exceeding 2 hours. The average media consumption was much higher in cases (3.48 hours) as compared to controls (1.9 hours). Upon analysis, it was evident that surpassing a total screen time of 2 hours has an increased risk of language delay concurring with many studies from different parts of the world that have shown increased risk of developing language delay with more screen usage <sup>[3,9-11,15-20]</sup>. Since the COVID-19 pandemic, education and recreation have undergone a significant change. Accessing knowledge has become easier with smartphones and the internet. Parents now also use these devices for their children's leisure activities. Schools conduct classes online and students submit homework via platforms like WhatSapp. In such a scenario, we expect to see increased screen time in the general population as well. In our sample, children's preferred content consisted of cartoons in their mother tongue, poems, video games, and, during unsupervised sessions, a diverse range of YouTube shorts spanning non-childfriendly categories. These patterns align with the observations made in the study by Hudon et al <sup>[4]</sup>, which ascribed poor quality viewing and solitary viewing as a potential risk factor. Hudon et al mention that quantity and quality are two completely different factors influencing language development and should not be correlated. Two other studies [3,17] reiterate that the quality of shows watched affected the outcome of language skills in children.

Our study and previous literature clearly demonstrated viewing informative content like poems, stories or rhymes had some positive effect on child's language<sup>[12]</sup> acquiring skills and reduced the odds of developing language delays significantly. One possible explanation could be that they help in improving a child's vocabulary by giving similar information provided in schools but in a colorful and interactive way.

Many organizations recommend children's complete abstinence of screen time. We believe a more pragmatic approach involves actively engaging in the education of children, regarding responsible smartphone usage. Parents must be educated on 'what should be' and 'what should not be' seen by their children on smartphones and television.

Garrison et al [5] in their study concluded that increase in night time usage of media devices as well as violent content, increased problems children(between 3-5 sleep in years of age). Instances of imitation and aggression when denied access to screen, and compromises on sleep (like throwing tantrums or increased sleep latency) were reported consistently by both cases and controls (i.e. normally developing children) in our study too. However, it was not related to language delay. A study by Perdana et al [11] did not find any factor other than increased screen time in development of language delay. While a few other study [8,18] showed that language delay is attributed to multiple socioeconomic factors (like mother education and home environment) and family history. In our study, no correlation was found between socioeconomic factors and its influence on the language development of children.

Moyle et al [9] did an extensive review of the genetic and environmental factors that predicate the learning process. They explain how multiple complex neurobiological interactions play a role in development of speech. From the earlier literature that explained the complex mechanism of language development in a child during growing years <sup>[9]</sup>, we certainly know that a single factor (like an increased screen time) cannot be attributed in development of the entire disease process. However, with the latest evidence, including our study, early exposure to screen time does seem to influence, in some way, the development of language in a child, especially when unregulated.

Despite various studies (aforementioned) and fair acceptance of the linkage, we have been unable to develop a diagnostic protocol and competitive therapy for children suspected of developing language delay due to media divulgence. Prevention has been outlined in various studies as the key. From our experience of meeting with guardians of children with language delay, we believe studies should take into account variables like parental education work hours to formulate dynamic and guidelines. It is also important to address parental attitudes regarding introduction and use of technology to children. Additionally, pediatricians as well as psychiatrists should be trained for diagnosis and behavioral therapy specific to this circumstance.

The study's brief duration and constrained sample size hinders broad generalizations of the findings. The tools used to assess language delay were chosen because of regional factors and may be improved in subsequent studies. Being a single center study, we could not ascertain the prevalence of language delay. The cases were not followed up, to see future implications of the disease. Nonetheless, the study's results are unanimous with preceding studies and requires steady action to safeguard the holistic development of the children<sup>[14,15]</sup>.

### **Conclusion:**

In our study, we found that an overall increase in screen time predisposed a child to the risk of language delay. Excess mobile and television usage posed a risk factor for language delay, while watching educational content reduced the risk. Our study highlights one of the reiterated facts in recent times – increasing screen time among children and its harmful effect on their development. We should focus on the 'quantity' as well as the 'quality' of social media content consumed by children.

### WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS?

Our study is one of the very few studies done to compare screen viewing habits of normal children with those having language delay.

It points towards a direct correlation between duration of screen time and language delay in children. Quality and Quantity of screen time have shown to produce different effects on language development in children

### **Conflicts of Interest**

None

#### Source of Funding:

Study was funded by ICMR under the STS program 2023.

#### **Ethics Approval:**

Institutional Ethics Committee gave approval for the study (ECR/262/Inst/UP/2013/RR-19)

#### **References:**

- Meena P, Gupta P, Shah D. Screen Time in Indian Children by 15-18 Months of Age. Indian Pediatr. 2020 Nov 15;57(11):1033-1036. Epub 2020 Aug 9. PMID: 32788425.
- Shah RR, Fahey NM, Soni AV, Phatak AG, Nimbalkar SM. Screen time usage among preschoolers aged 2-6 in rural Western India: A cross-sectional study. J Family Med Prim Care. 2019 Jun;8(6):1999-2002. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc. jfmpc\_206\_19. PMID: 31334169; PMCID: PMC6618175.
- Karani NF, Sher J, Mophosho M. The influence of screen time on children'slanguage development: A scoping review. S Afr J Commun Disord.2022;69(1):e1-e7. Published 2022 Feb 9. doi:10.4102/sajcd.v69i1.825
- Hudon TM, Fennell CT, Hoftyzer M. Quality not quantity of television viewing isassociated with bilingual toddlers' vocabulary scores. Infant Behav Dev. 2013Apr;36(2):245-54. doi: 10.1016/j. infbeh.2013.01.010. Epub 2013 Feb 28. PMID:23454426.
- Garrison MM, Liekweg K, Christakis DA. Media use and child sleep: the impactof content, timing, and environment. Pediatrics. 2011 Jul;128(1):29-35. doi:10.1542/peds.2010-3304. Epub 2011 Jun 27. PMID: 21708803; PMCID:PMC3124101
- Snowling MJ, Bishop DV, Stothard SE et al. Psychosocial outcomes at 15 years ofchildren with a preschool history of speech-language impairment. J ChildPsychol Psychiatry. 2006;47(8):759-765. doi:10.11 11/j.1469-7610.2006.01631.
- McLaughlin MR. Speech and language delay in children. Am Fam Physician.2011;83(10):1183-1188.17
- Mondal N, Bhat B, Plakkal N, et al. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Speech and Language Delay in Children Less Than Three Years of Age. J Compr Ped.2016;7(2):e33173. doi: 10.17795/compreped-33173
- Moyle J, Stokes SF, Klee T. Early language delay and specific languageimpairment. Dev Disabil Res Rev. 2011;17(2):160-169. doi:10.1002/ddrr.1110
- van den Heuvel M, Ma J, Borkhoff CM, et al. Mobile Media Device Use isAssociated with Expressive Language Delay in 18-Month-Old Children. J DevBehav Pediatr. 2019;40(2):99-104. doi:10.1097/ DBP.00000000000000630
- 11. Perdana, S., Medise, B., & Purwaningsih, E. (2017). Duration of watching TVand child language

#### Acknowledgements:

We would like to acknowledge the efforts of Mr. Shubham Misra, the psychologist at our

institute, who helped in selection of cases and controls.

development in young children. Pediatr Indones, 57(2),99-103. 10.14238/pi57.2.2017.99-103

- Nair MK, Nair GH, Mini AO, et al. Development and validation of languageevaluation scale Trivandrum for children aged 0-3 years--LEST (0-3). IndianPediatr. 2013;50(5):463-467. doi:10.1007/s13312-013-0154-5
- Nair MK, Harikumaran GS, George B, et al. Language Evaluation ScaleTrivandrum (LEST 3-6 years) Development and Validation. Indian Pediatr.2016;53(3):257-258.
- 14. Kaur N, Gupta M, Kiran T, et al. Development and evaluation of thedigital-screen exposure questionnaire (DSEQ) for young children. PLoS One.2021;16(6):e0253313. Published 2021 Jun 22. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0253313
- 15. Lin LY, Cherng RJ, Chen YJ, et al. Effects of television exposure ondevelopmental skills among young children. Infant Behav Dev. 2015;38:20-26doi:10.1016/j. infbeh.2014.12.00518
- 16. Kaur N, Gupta M, Malhi P, et al. Prevalence of Screen Time Among Children Aged 2 to 5 Years in Chandigarh, a North Indian Union Territory. J DevBehav Pediatr. 2022;43(1):e29-e38. doi:10.1097/ DBP.000000000000064
- Madigan S, McArthur BA, Anhorn C, et al. Associations Between Screen Use andChild Language Skills: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr.2020;174(7):665-675. doi:10.1001/ jamapediatrics.2020.0327
- Sidhu M, Malhi P, Jerath J. Early language development in Indian children: Apopulation-based pilot study. Ann Indian Acad Neurol. 2013;16(3):371-375.doi:10.4103/0972-2327.116937
- Varadarajan S, Govindarajan Venguidesvarane A, Ramaswamy KN, et al.Prevalence of excessive screen time and its association with developmentaldelay in children aged <5 years: A population-based crosssectional study inIndia. PLoS One. 2021;16(7):e0254102. Published 2021 Jul 6.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0254102
- 20. Takahashi I, Obara T, Ishikuro M, et al. Screen Time at Age 1 Year and Communication and Problem-Solving Developmental Delay at 2 and 4 YearsJAMA Pediatr. 2023;177(10):1039-1046. doi:10.1001/ jamapediatrics.2023.3057

# Criterion Validation of 'Speech Related Items' in INCLEN NDST-Research Form Against Receptive Expressive Emergent Language Scale-4 Among Children with Complaints of Speech Problems

Dr. MKC Nair<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Amrita Gautam<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Arunima<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Remadevi S<sup>4</sup>, Dr. Swapna S<sup>5</sup>, Dr. Sajitha J<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NIMS-SPECTRUM-CDRC, Emeritus Professor, NICHE University, Kanyakumari, Kerala Address for correspondence Dr. MKC Nair: NIMS College of Nursing, NIMS Medicity, Aralummoodu, Neyyattinkara, Trivandrum - 695123 Email: cdcmkc@gmail.com



Received : 12 DECEMBER 2024; Accepted: 05 FEBRUARY 2025

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Delay in speech and language is one of the most common neurodevelopmental disorders in early childhood with a prevalence rate of around 6% in children.

**Aims and Objectives**: The primary objective of the study was criterion validation of 'speech related items' in INCLEN NDST-research form against receptive expressive emergent language scale-4 among children with complaints of speech problems as gold standard and to calculate sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, diagnostic accuracy, and likelihood ratios.

How to cite this article: Nair MKC, Gautam A, Arunima, Remadevi S, Swapna S, Sajitha J. Criterion Validation of 'Speech Related Items' in INCLEN NDST-Research Form Against Receptive **Expressive Emergent** Language Scale-4 Among Children with Complaints of Speech Problems. Indian J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2025;3(1): 19-23. DOI: 10.5281/ zenodo.15125261

#### **Keywords:**

- NDST
- Psychometric properties
- Speech delay
- Criterion validation

**Materials & Methods:** This descriptive study - diagnostic test evaluation, was carried out from January to August 2022 over a period of 8 months, at NIMS Spectrum-CDRC, Thiruvananthapuram, and a tertiary care centre for children with neurodevelopmental problems. Seventy-five children with complaints of speech problems, coming to NIMS-Spectrum-CDRC, were screened with NDST-research against REELS by an experienced developmental therapist and evaluated with REELS-4 by Developmental nurse counsellor, blind to the results of screening.

**Results:** On doing criterion validation of Speech related items in NDST-research, the psychometric properties were as follows; sensitivity of 97.96%, specificity of 42.31%, positive predictive value of 76.19 %, negative predictive value of 91.67%, positive likelihood ratio 1.70 and negative likelihood ratio 0.05. Diagnostic accuracy of the test was found to be 78.67%.

**Conclusion**: Speech related items' in INCLEN NDST-research form against Receptive Expressive Emergent Language Scale-4 (REELS-4) among children is a simple screening test, with good psychometric properties, to screen among children suspected with developmental problems.

### Introduction:

Development of speech helps children not only to get attention from others, to satisfy their needs, to influence the behaviour of others, and to develop social relations, but it also plays an important role in their academic achievements as they grow<sup>[1]</sup>.Language delay or abnormalities in speech and language should be detected during the early stages of life itself, so that early intervention could be instituted. Several tools are available for the purpose of assessing speech

JANUARY-MARCH 2025 | Volume 3 | Issue 1

and language delay for example; Early Language Milestone Scale (ELM Scale), The Receptive Expressive Emergent Language Scale (REEL), 3-Dimensional language Assessment Tool (3-DLAT), Language Evaluation Scale Trivandrum etc.<sup>[2,3]</sup>. Speech delay is defined as "when the child's conversational speech is either more delayed than would be expected for age or marked by speech sound error patterns not appropriate for age"<sup>[4,5]</sup>. Delay in speech and language is one of the most common neurodevelopmental disorders in early childhood with a prevalence rate of around 6% in children<sup>[6]</sup>. Up to 60% of language delays at the age of 2 to 3 year probably resolve spontaneously<sup>[7]</sup>. However, if serious language delays persist and remain untreated, they can have detrimental effects at older age. Language disorders are strongly related to psychiatric/ behavioural problems<sup>[8]</sup> and learning problems later at school<sup>[9]</sup>. These results indicate the need for intensive, early intervention for language impaired youngsters<sup>[10]</sup>.

REELS-4 tool was designed to help identify children (age0-7years) who have language impairments or who have other disabilities that affect language development. The validated tool REELS-4 is too expensive and time consuming for routine clinical use. Hence it was essential to have a screening tool developed and validated locally. In the present study, a feasible approach was to use 'speech related items' in NDST-Research form developed by INCLEN-NDD study team led by Dr N.K Arora, to screen for speech and language problems. After validation against REELS-4, 'speech related items' in NDST-Research form can also be used to identify a child with speech and language delay early.

### **Objectives:**

1. To administer Neurodevelopmental screening tool Research form(NDST-R/F), on consecutive children of 2-7 years with complaints of speech problems, attending NIMS-spectrum CDRC.

- 2. To administer Receptive Expressive Emergent Language Scale (REEL-4) diagnostic tool on the same children.
- 3. Criterion validation of 'speech related items' in NDST-R/F as a screening tool against REEL-4 as gold standard using sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, diagnostic accuracy, and likelihood ratios.

### Materials & Methods:

The present study was a hospital based criterion validation study which was carried out from January to August 2022 over a period of 8months, at Thiruvananthapuram NIMS-Spectrum-Child Development Research Centre (CDRC), Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education (NICHE), Deemed-to-be University. Institutional Ethical Committee clearance was obtained (Regn. No. ECR/218/Inst/Ker/2013/RR-16 Approval and No. NIMS/IEC/2022/01/03, dated 10/01/2022). Seventy five consecutive children of consenting parents, aged 2-7 years with complaints of speech problems, coming to NIMS-Spectrum-CDRC, were included.

Data was collected by interview method. Screening by 'speech related items' in NDST-R/F was done by an experienced Developmental Therapist and then REEL-4was administered by a Developmental Nurse Counsellor, blind to the screening results. The analysis was performed using Statistical package for social science (SPSS version 20).

### **Results:**

Out of the study population of 75 children,

- Age: 24-35 months 26; 36-47 months 22; 48-59 months 11; 60-71 months 12; 72-84 months 4
- Gender: Male 63 (84%); Female 12 (16%).
- Using NDST-R/F 63 (84%) children had at least one question positive suggestive of Speech related problems.((Table 1)

NDST-Research form Item	No	Sounds only	Recognisable words		
Can your child speak?	3	14	58		
NDST-Research form Item	No	Somewhat	Yes/lost speech/		
		Reduced	Stopped speaking		
After attaining initial speech has your child now stopped speaking or has, he/she stopped learning new words and sentences?	52	15	8		
NDST-Research form Items	No	Some-times	Most of the time		
Is your child's speech in any way	39	10	26		
different from other children of his/ her age?					
Can your child speak words or sentences which can also be understood by non-family members?	43	24	8		
Does your child often repeat the same word or phrase over and over again in the same manner?	59	5	11		
Can your child name familiar objects (less than 3years old) or is able to describe an object/event or an action (if more than 3years old)?	25	16	34		
Does your child seem to have difficulty in comprehending what you are saying?	45	21	9		
NDST-Research form Impression = No Speech related problems: 12(16%);					
Speech related problems: 63(84%)					

**Table 1:** 'Speech related items' in NDST-Research form (n=75)

• Using REEL-4, 49 children (65.3%) had Speech problems. (Table 2)

### **Table2:** Prevalence of Speech problems as per REELS

Interpretation	Number
Normal	26 (34.7%)
Delay	49 (65.3%)
Total	75

'Speech related items in NDST-	REELS Imj	Total	
Research form' Impression	Delay	Normal	
Delay	48 (TP)	15 (FP)	63
Normal	1 (FN)	11(TN)	12
Total	49	26	75

On doing criterion validation of Speech related items in Neurodevelopmental screening tool (NDST-Research form) against REELS, the psychometric properties were as follows; sensitivity of 97.96%, specificity of 42.31%, positive predictive value of 76.19 %, negative predictive value of 91.67%, positive likelihood ratio 1.70 and negative likelihood ratio 0.05. Diagnostic accuracy of the test was found to be 78.67%.

### **Discussion:**

The COVID-19 pandemic has had long lasting effects on the communication skills of the children especially those who were in their prelinguistic phase when the pandemic started. Masks are known to degrade the speech signal, serving as a low-pass filter by attenuating high frequencies spoken by the wearer; the decibel level of attenuation ranges from 3 to 4 dB for simple medical masks and close to 12 dB for N95 masks<sup>[13]</sup>. In children with hearing loss, this seemingly small change may significantly affect speech understanding as compared with their normal-hearing peers. Speech screening tools like Language Evaluation Scale Trivandrum (LEST) have been validated against REELS to be used

### Financial Support and sponsorship:

Nil

#### **References:**

- 1. Elizabeth B Hurlock. Child development, 6th edition, Tata McGraw Hill Publishers :1942 ;135-495
- Coplan J, Gleason JR, Ryan R, Burke MG, and Williams ML. Validation of an early language milestone scale in a high-risk population. Pediatrics. 1982 Nov;70(5):677-83. PMID: 7133817.
- Nair MKC, Mini AO, Bhaskaran D, Harikumaran Nair GS, George B, Leena ML, Russell PS. CDC Kerala 6: Validation of Language Evaluation Scale Trivandrum (0-3 y) against Receptive Expressive Emergent Language Scale in a developmental evaluation clinic population. Indian J Pediatr. 2014 Dec;81 Suppl 2:S99-101. doi: 10.1007/ s12098-014-1502-8. Epub 2014 Aug 12. PMID: 25109679.
- Shriberg LD. Four new speech and prosody-voice measures for genetics research and other studies in developmental phonological disorders. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research. 1993 Feb;36(1):105-40.
- 5. Shriberg LD, Austin D, Lewis BA, McSweeny JL, Wilson DL. The Speech Disorders Classification System (SDCS)

in the community [sensitivity and specificity of LEST(0-3), was found to be 95.85% and 77.5%, respectively with a negative predictive value of 99.8% and LR (negative) of 0.05] <sup>[3]</sup>. Another test version tool Screening Test of Early Language Development-Test version (STELD-T) was validated by expert through expert opinion and tested against REELS<sup>[14]</sup>. However, the NDST being a comprehensive tool evaluating plenty of spheres of development including diseases like epilepsy as well, makes it more community friendly and time saving.

The present study showed that Speech related items in NDST-R/F have good psychometric properties when validated against REELS-4 taken as gold standard. Due to ease of administration and low cost, NDST-R/F is an ideal tool for quick screening of speech problems in the community as well as outpatient setting.

### **Conclusion:**

Speech related items' in INCLEN NDST-research form against Receptive Expressive Emergent Language Scale-4 (REELS-4) among children is a simple screening test, with good psychometric properties, to screen among children suspected with developmental problems.

### **Conflicts of interest:**

There are no conflicts of interest

extensions and lifespan reference data. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research. 1997 Aug;40(4):723-40.

- Law J, Garrett Z, Nye C. Speech and language therapy interventions for children with primary speech and language delay or disorder. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003;2003(3):CD004110. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD004110. PMID: 12918003; PMCID: PMC8407295.
- Law J, Boyle J, Harris F, Harkness A, Nye C. Screening for speech and language delay: a systematic review of the literature. Health Technol Assess. 1998;2(9):1-184. PMID: 9728296.
- Sundheim ST, Voeller KK. Psychiatric implications of language disorders and learning disabilities: Risks and management. Journal of Child Neurology. 2004 Oct;19(10):814-26.
- Johnson CJ, Beitchman JH, Young A, Escobar M, Atkinson L, Wilson B, Brownlie EB, Douglas L, Taback N, Lam I, Wang M. Fourteen-year follow-up of children with and without speech/language impairments: Speech/language stability and outcomes. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research. 1999 Jun;42(3):744-60.

- 10. Young AR, Beitchman JH, Johnson C, Douglas L, Atkinson L, Escobar M, Wilson B. Young adult academic outcomes in a longitudinal sample of early identified language impaired and control children. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 2002 Jul;43(5):635-45.
- 11. Bzoch K, League R. Receptive-Expressive Emergent Language Scale. Gainesville, FL: Tree of Life Press.
- 12. Virginia B, Kenneth B, Richard R. Receptive Expressive Emergent Language Test. 4th ed. Austin,tex;Pro-Ed. 2020
- Goldin, A.; Weinstein, B.; Shiman, N. How do medical masks degrade speech perception. Hear. Rev. 2020, 27, 8-9.
- 14. Pathak S, Sovani-Kelkar P. Development of a screening tool to identify babies at risk of language delay in India: A preliminary study. Language Acquisition. 2023 Jan 2;30(1):29-49.

# Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Patterns of Participation in Daily Physical and Play Activity

Dr. Pallavi Sanga<sup>1</sup>, Ms.Arul Devi<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Lal D V Nair <sup>3</sup>, Dr. Mohit Dey<sup>4</sup>, Dr.Ivan Das<sup>5</sup>

Saveetha Medical College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Address for correspondence Dr. Pallavi Bhasha Sanga A-12,Pasumai RBI Officers Quarters, 15th Main Road, Anna Nagar -West, Chennai Tamilnadu-600040 E-mail : pallavi.b.sanga@gmail.com



Received : 16 OCTOBER 2024; Accepted: 08 JANUARY 2025

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) often demonstrate delays in motor and language development, along with reduced participation in organized and social activities. These factors contribute to increased risk of comorbidities such as obesity and poor social functioning. Understanding the relationship between play levels, social responsiveness, and autism severity is essential to develop effective interventions.

How to cite this article: Sanga PB, Devi A, Dey M, Das I. Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Patterns of Participation in Daily Physical and Play Activity. Indian J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2025;3(1): 24-32. DOI: 10.5281/ zenodo.15125346

**Keywords:** • Preschool

• Play levels

• Social maturity

responsiveness

• Westby scale

Autism

Social

### Aims and Objectives: To assess play levels in children with ASD, evaluate diversity in daily activity participation, and examine correlations between autism severity, social maturity, language development, and play participation. Methods: This cross-sectional study included 50 children diagnosed with ASD after screening using ADI-R and DSM-5 criteria. Participants were assessed using the Westby Play Scale (WPS), Vineland Social Maturity Scale (VSMS), Social Responsiveness Scale-2 (SRS-2), and Preschool Language Scale-5 (PLS-5). Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS-23 to determine correlations between play and clinical variables.

**Results:** Most children were at Stage 1 (74%) or Stage 2 (26%) on the Westby Play Scale. Participation in physical activities was highest in jumping and running. Strong correlations were found between WPS scores and social maturity (r = 0.658), auditory comprehension (r = 0.571), and social responsiveness (r = -0.580). No significant correlation was observed between WPS and restricted and repetitive behaviors (RRB).

**Conclusion:** Children with ASD exhibit predominantly low play levels, which strongly correlate with social maturity and auditory comprehension but not with RRB. Enhancing play participation through targeted interventions may improve social communication and overall development.

### Introduction:

Children with ASD are less likely to participate in organized activities like sports<sup>[1]</sup>. This is attributed to their deficits in motor development and physical activity (PA) behaviour. Social and behavioural impairments in ASD limit children's opportunity to participate in PA and recreations that eventually end to their inactivity predisposing children with ASD to comorbid conditions such as overweight and obesity<sup>[1]</sup>.

ASD is clinically defined by impairments in social, communication and reciprocal

Indian Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics (Official Journal of IAP Chapter of Neurodevelopmental Pediatrics) interaction, with repetitive, restricted, and stereotypical behavioral patterns and is now thought to affect up to 2.5% of children<sup>[1]</sup>. Social impairment and restricted interests combined with high rates of motor problems. PA helps socialization, increase motor skills, and have positive impacts on a range of outcomes<sup>[2]</sup>.

Disturbing statistics suggest that children with autism are 40% more likely to be overweight and obese compared to their typically developed peers. Increasing PA is a primary health objective. Thusalthough motor skill difficulties have started to receive more attention in autism literature, PA patterns have received less<sup>[3]</sup>. Comorbid conditions can significantly impact children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). Quite often, the comorbidities are related to the key manifestations of ASD itself, like-

- 1. Physical Health: Conditions like overweight and obesity are common due to reduced physical activity. This can lead to further health issues such as diabetes, cardiovascular problems, and joint pain.
- 2. Mental Health: Children with ASD are also at a higher risk for mental health conditions like anxiety, depression, and ADHD. These can exacerbate social and behavioral challenges, making it even harder for them to engage in daily activities.
- **3. Social Interaction**: Comorbid conditions can further limit social interactions. For example, obesity might lead to bullying or social isolation, which can worsen the child's social skills and self-esteem.
- **4. Quality of Life**: Overall, these comorbid conditions can reduce the quality of life for children with ASD, making it crucial to address both the core symptoms of ASD and any additional health issues.

**AIM-** The study aims at understanding the diversity of autistic play and its level of significance.

### **Objective** :

1) To assess, the level of play in children with autism.

- 2) To assess, the diversity in participation of daily activities.
- 3) To understand correlation between severity of autism and level of participation in play.

### Methodology:

**Study design**: The children whose parents gave consent were enrolled in the study. It was a cross sectional study meant to analyze the association of the levels of play and the severity of ASD symptoms.

**Recruitment & Sampling method**: the study involved only children with autism. Hence those parents who were willing to participate only were included; hence convenience sampling method was selected.

Sample size: Using Open Epi software the sample size of the study population was found to be 41 with an expected incidence of the population as 2.5% and an alpha of 0.05 at 80% power and design effect size of 2.5 for surveys. Using the formula N=(Za)

65 patients were initially recruited of which 10 dropped out at different levels.

### Methods:

### Assessments:

- a) The level of play for each child was assessed with WESBY's Play Scale (WPS). The Westby Play Scale is designed to be sensitive to various stages of symbolic play, which are closely linked to cognitive and language development. However, specific sensitivity and specificity values for the Westby Play Scale are not commonly reported in the literature. This scale is more qualitative, focusing on the developmental milestones and the types of play behaviours that should be observed at different ages.
- b) The appropriate language level of each child was assessed using the PLS-5.The Preschool Language Scale, Fifth Edition (PLS-5) has a sensitivity of 0.83 and a specificity of 0.8012. These values indicate that the PLS-5 is quite effective in correctly identifying children with language delays (sensitivity) and in correctly

identifying children without language delays.

c) The social responsiveness levels of the child was determined with SRS-2.

And the behavioural abnormality and social quotient was assessed using VSMS. Interview of each parent was done and questionnaires were filled up along with observations by the DBP. Any deficiencies were subjected to reconfirmation with the parents. The results were tabulated and analyzed using appropriate statistical methods

#### Statistical analysis:

SPSS-23 version was used for statistical analysis. Frequency distributions with mean +/- SD were calculated for parametric data and median with IQR for the nonparametric distributions. Shapiro-Wilk testing was done to ensure parametric quality of data. No normalization of data was done. Chi-square was done for comparing the observed play with the expected. Pearson's correlation was done to analyze the comparability of levels of play with the severity levels of ASD.

### **Results:**

Table 1: Assessment of play using Wes by Play Scale (WPS)

WPS Stages	N	%
Stage 1	37	74
Stage 2	13	26

If the same assessment is applied to a larger group, we can predict similar distributions. For example, if 100 children are assessed, we might expect:

- Stage 1: (74%) of 100 = 74 children
- Stage 2: (26%) of 100 = 26 children

If interventions or educational programs are introduced, tracking the movement of children from Stage 1 to Stage 2 over time can help measure the effectiveness of these programs. Most children are found to be in the Stage 1 (74%), as assessed by the WPS (Table 1).

**Table 2:** Assessing the diversity of participation in physical activities

Activities	Observed N	Expected N	x <sup>2</sup>
Cricket	3	14.9	
Cycling	24	14.9	
Dancing	3	14.9	
Football	4	14.9	100 000**
Jumping	38	14.9	128.328***
Running	40	14.9	
Sliding	3	14.9	
Swimming	4	14.9	

\*\* p < 0.001

Jumping and Running have much higher observed frequencies compared to the expected frequencies, indicating these activities are significantly more popular than expected (Table 2).

Dancing, Football, Sliding, and Swimming have much lower observed frequencies compared to the expected frequencies, indicating these activities are significantly less popular than expected (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Bar plot showing the frequencies of the activities

A significant  $\chi^2$  (goodness of fit test) among the activities implies there is significant difference (diversity) among the physical activities involved in, by the children. The observed and expected frequencies have been given in the (Table 2).

Table 3: t-test for BMI across the age and gender groups.

Variables	Age	N	м	SD	т	Df	MD	SED	р
_	Below 5yrs	21	16.511	1.653	0.582	48	0.29	0.498	0.563
Age	Above 5yrs	29	15.221	1.793					
	Male	33	16.097	1.95	-1.691	47.752	-0.722	0.427	0.097
Gender	Female	17	16.819	1.067					

Variat	ole: BMI	l

1.

The above table reveals that there is no significant difference found among the age groups and the sexes in terms of the BMI of the autistic children (p = n.s.). However, children below 5 years were found to have a slightly higher mean BMI. Similarly, the females were found to possess slightly higher mean BMI than the males (Table 3).

### a) Age Group Comparison:

- The mean score for children below 5 years is slightly higher than for those above 5 years.
- The p-value (0.563) indicates that the difference is not statistically significant, meaning there is no strong evidence to suggest a difference in means between the two age groups (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Bar plot showing the mean of the age groups

### a) Gender Comparison:

- The mean score for females is slightly higher than for males.
- The p-value (0.097) is close to the

conventional threshold for significance (0.05), suggesting a potential difference, but it is not statistically significant at the 0.05 level (Figure 3).





**Table 4**: Correlation between level of participation in play and variables related to the severity of autism.

1.

Variable	Statistics	SCI	RRB	VSMS	PLS-5 Auditory	PLS-5 Expressive	SRS-2
	R	645**	077	.658**	571*	0.426**	580*
WPS Stages	Р	0.000	0.594	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000

\*\* p < 0.01 \* p < 0

### Variables and Correlations:

- a) SCI (Social Communication and Interaction):
  - **Correlation** <sup>®</sup>: -0.645\*\*
  - **p-value**: 0.000
  - **Inference**: There is a strong negative correlation between level of participation in play and social communication and interaction.Highersocial communication and interaction is associated with lower level of participation in play (Table 4).

### b) RRB (Restricted and Repetitive Behaviors):

- **Correlation** <sup>®</sup>: 0.077
- p-value: 0.594
- **Inference**: There is no significant correlation between participation in play and restricted and repetitive behaviors.
- c) VSMS (Vineland Social maturity Scale):
  - **Correlation** <sup>®</sup>: 0.658\*\*
  - p-value: 0.000
  - Inference: There is a strong between level of participation in play and social maturity. Better social maturity is associated with higher participation in play.

### d) PLS-5 Auditory Comprehension:

- **Correlation** <sup>®</sup>: 0.658\*\*
- **p-value**: 0.000
- Inference: There is a strong positive correlation between participation in play and auditory comprehension. Better auditory comprehension is associated with higher participation in play.

### e) PLS-5 Expressive:

- **Correlation** <sup>®</sup>: 0.571\*
- **p-value**: 0.002
- **Inference**: There is no significant correlation between level of participation in play and expressive language skills.

### f) SRS-2 (Social Responsiveness Scale):

- **Correlation** <sup>®</sup>: -0.580\*\*
- **p-value**: 0.000
- Inference: There is a moderate negative correlation between participation in play and social responsiveness. The severity in social responsiveness issues is associated with lower participation in play.



Figure 4: Correlogram for the variables.

It is observed that there is a strong negative correlation between WPS Stages and SCI (r = -.645, p = .000), indicating that as WPS Stages increase, SCI decreases significantly. There exists a strong positive correlation between WPS Stages and VSMS, (r= .658, p=.000), and a moderate positive correlation with Auditory Comprehension (r=.571, p=.000), suggesting that higher WPS Stages are associated with higher scores in these areas. Also, a moderate negative correlation was observed between WPS Stages and SRS-2 (r = -.580, p = .000), indicating that higher WPS Stages are associated with lower SRS-2 scores. However, the correlations between WPS Stages and RRB (r=-.077, p=.594) and Expressive Comprehension (r = .426, p = .002)were not statistically significant implying that WPS Stages are significantly related to certain aspects of autism severity, particularly SCI, VSMS, and SRS2. It is not linked significantly with restricted interests and restricted behaviours and the expressive comprehension, as assessed by PLS-5 (Figure 4).

### **Discussion:**

ASD components: SCI is inversely correlating with the play stages, but RRB does not correlate with

stages of play.Children with ASD often exhibit delays in spontaneous pretend play compared to typically developing peers. Pretend play involves symbolic thinking and creativity. However, the relationship between RRBs and play stages isn't straightforward. While RRBs may interfere with certain play behaviors (e.g., rigid adherence to routines), they don't always correlate directly with play development <sup>[4, 5]</sup>. So, even though RRBs are common in ASD, they don't necessarily predict play stage progression.

**VSMS**: There exists a strong positive correlation between WPS Stages and VSMS, (r= .658, p=.000). This shows that social quotient of VSMS can reliably be used not just in analyzing sociability, but in analyzing how to play with the child. While the exact correlation with the Vineland Social Maturity Scale (VSMS) isn't directly covered in thisreview"A Systematic Review of Play-Based Interventions Targeting the Social Communication Skills of Children with ASD", understanding the impact of play-based interventions on social communication remains crucial for children with ASD<sup>[6].</sup> Play-based interventions, recognizing that play offers a unique context for social communication development, especially in educational environments.

PLS-5: There exists a moderate positive correlation with Auditory Comprehension (r = .571, p = .000), suggesting that higher WPS Stages are associated with higher scores in these areas. However, the expressive part of it though is returning a significant p-value cannot be considered as r valueis <0.5; hence expressive language is not significantly correlating with the stages of play.A recent study titled "Neurophysiological measures of auditory sensory processing are associated with adaptive behavior in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder" investigated the relationship between early auditory processing (measured through auditory event-related potentials) and everyday adaptive behavior in children with ASD<sup>[7]</sup>. Atypical auditory cortical processing (smaller and/or slightly delayed auditory evoked potentials) was consistently found in children with ASD. Lateralization of auditory event-related potentials (AEPs) was significantly associated with adaptive functioning in the socialization domain.Sensory processing differences may impact everyday adaptive behavior in autism<sup>[7]</sup>.

**SRS-2**: A moderate negative correlation was observed between WPS Stages and SRS-2 (r= -.580, p=.000), indicating that higher WPS Stages are associated with lower SRS-2 scores. Although not directly related to WPS Stages, this study'Performance of the SRS-2 for Assessment of Neurodevelopmental Conditions" explored correlations between SRS-2 scores and other cognitive measures.A small negative correlation was observed between SRS-2 total T-score and various cognitive subtests <sup>[8]</sup>.

### **Financial Support and sponsorship:**

Nil

#### **References:**

- Memari AH, Panahi N, Ranjbar E, Moshayedi P, Shafiei M, Kordi R, Ziaee V. Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder and Patterns of Participation in Daily Physical and Play Activities. Neurol Res Int. 2015;2015:531906. doi: 10.1155/2015/531906. Epub 2015 Jun 15. PMID: 26171247; PMCID: PMC4485548.
- 2. Jones RA, Downing K, Rinehart NJ, Barnett LM, May T, McGillivray JA, et al. (2017) Physical activity, sedentary behavior and their correlates in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A systematic review. PLoS ONE 12(2): e0172482. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0172482

### **Conclusion:**

Study gives information on the similarity in level of play and social responsiveness. Level of play and severity of Autism are not related with respect to RRB, but is strongly related to SCI of SRS-2. Better social maturity and improved auditory comprehension are linked to higher levels of play participation in children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). On the other hand, greater severity in social responsiveness issues tends to be associated with lower engagement in play activities.

Stages of play closely correlate with social quotient. Children with ASD prefer solitary play to other type of play like parallel & Co-operating play; by age 4 years they the directly go to constrictive play. These children have a mild degree of autism severity with training developed pretend play and proceeded to different type of play. This differences in social responsiveness and play have to be considered while interacting with the child during activities.

These findings suggest that improving social communication and responsiveness, as well as auditory comprehension and expressive language skills, could enhance participation in play for children with autism. Programs focusing on enhancing social communication and language skills might be particularly effective in increasing play participation. Regular assessment of these variables can help tailor interventions to individual needs, potentially leading to better outcomes in play participation.

### **Conflicts of interest:**

There are no conflicts of interest

- 3. MacDonald et al.: The physical activity patterns of children with autism. BMC Research Notes 2011 4:422
- Lyons, M. (2021). Preschool Language Scale 5. In: Volkmar, F.R. (eds) Encyclopedia of Autism Spectrum Disorders. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91280-6\_979
- Krzysztofik, K. Does a high threshold of sensory responsiveness affect the development of pretend play in children on the autism spectrum?. J NeurodevelopDisord 16, 34 (2024). https://doi. org/10.1186/s11689-024-09551-y

- O'Keeffe, C., McNally, S. A Systematic Review of Play-Based Interventions Targeting the Social Communication Skills of Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder in Educational Contexts. Rev J Autism Dev Disord 10, 51–81 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40489-021-00286-3
- 7. Cotter, M., Reisli, S., Francisco, A.A. et al. Neurophysiological measures of auditory sensory processing are associated with adaptive behavior in children with Autism Spectrum

Disorder. J NeurodevelopDisord 15, 11 (2023). https:// doi.org/10.1186/s11689-023-09480-2

 Carty, A., Green, R., Goodman, C.V. et al. Performance of the Social Responsiveness Scale-2 for the Assessment of Autistic Behaviors in a Sample of Canadian Preschool-Aged Children. J Autism Dev Disord (2024). https://doi. org/10.1007/s10803-024-06487-z

# Effect of Clinic-Based Parental Guidance with ADHD Management on Parental Attitude and Behaviour in ADHD Children – A Practice-Based 5-Year Follow-Up

Palak Child Development Centre

Email: lata2207@gmail.com

Dr. Lata Bhat<sup>1</sup>, Ms. Areeba Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Ms. Insha Mohammad<sup>1</sup>, Ms. Akshita Bhat<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Palak Child Development Centre, Delhi, India

Received : 22 MAY 2024; Accepted: 10 JANUARY 2025

#### Abstract

**How to cite this article:** Bhat L, Ahmed A,

Mohammad I, Bhat A.

Effect of Clinic-Based

Parental Attitude and

Children – A Practice-Based 5-Year Follow-

Up. Indian J Dev Behav

Pediatr. 2025;3(1):

zenodo.15125385

**Keywords:** 

• Attention Deficit

Disorder (ADHD)

Hyperactive

• Developmental

Paediatrician / mental health

• Parental

33-41. DOI: 10.5281/

Behaviour in ADHD

Parental Guidance with ADHD Management on

**Introduction:** The prevalence of ADHD in India is 8 to 10 % of school-going children. Yet most parents do not seek the advice of a Developmental Paediatrician /mental health professional due to stigmas present in society and lack of awareness. In many cases, it's difficult for the parents to exactly understand the progress.

Address for correspondence Dr. Lata Bhat, Director,

226 A, Pocket B, Mayur Vihar Phase 2, Delhi - 110091

**Aims and Objectives:** To track the progress of ADHD children and see if there is a difference in the parental outlook.

**Materials & Methods:** A Quantitative Study on ADHD patients by using a self-administered survey questionnaire.

**Results:** A total of 50 parents of ADHD children were asked to answer the survey questionnaire, out of which 35 were answered. The survey comprised of 77.8% males and 22.2% females; 48.1 % of children were 16 to 20 years old, 29.6% were 7 to 10 and 14.8 % were 11 to 15 years of age. 40.7% visited the clinic at the age of 11 to 15 and 25.9% at the age of 7 to 10. The common reasons for consultation were low concentration (88.9%), inattention (77.8%), hyperactivity (74.1%), difficulty in handling daily activities at school (70.4%), difficulty in handling daily activities at home (44.6%), behavioural issues (55.6%) and aggressive behaviour (44.4%). 48.1% of children showed improvement with behaviour modification alone and an equal percentage responded to both (behaviour modification and medications). < 5% responded to medication alone 77.8% are still following treatment after coming to the clinic> 5 years.7.4% followed for 12 months or more whereas the least time frame for following recommendations was < 2 months, and only 7.4% followed treatment for less than 2 months. At least, 44.4 % of parents were>50% satisfied with medication, whereas about 30% were>80% satisfied with medication. About 70% of patients were > 50% satisfied with behaviour modification. The effectiveness of behaviour modification was the highest in positive reinforcement (92.6%), followed by short targets for studies (77.8%),1:1 attention 74.1 %, repeat instructions, following timetable, and stopped criticizing with equal percentage (70.4%), physical activity 63% and stopped scolding (67%).91.2 % gave a score of > 50% satisfaction with the overall treatment.

**Conclusion:** Even though parents face problems with their kids from an early age, they seek guidance after 11 years of age, thus showing parental resistance to accepting a problem. However, the fact that currently, nearly 50% of children are in the 16 to 20 age group means that once they seek guidance and see results, they stick to the advice. However, there is a lot of resistance to medication, although they follow behavioural modification.



### Introduction

Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder (ADHD) has a prevalence ranging from 2.5-10% in various parts of India, which is similar to the prevalence arrived at by many studies done internationally <sup>[1,2,3,4]</sup>. Lack of awareness and social stigma associated with it prevents most parents from seeking help at appropriate times. ADHD is a neurodevelopmental disorder defined by impairing levels of inattention, disorganization, and hyperactivity-impulsivity.

Behaviour management interventions are widely utilized as non-pharmacological strategies for addressing ADHD and its associated challenges. Among school-aged children with ADHD, symptoms of inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity often result in significant academic and social difficulties at home, school, and in various settings. Rather than directly addressing ADHD symptoms, behaviour management interventions primarily focus on addressing functional impairments <sup>[5]</sup>. In a home setting, behaviour management treatment commonly targets issues such as noncompliance with daily tasks, homework difficulties, and conflicts with family members. Behavioural parent training aims to improve parenting practices, enhance child behaviours, strengthen family relationships, and reduce overall family conflict.

Similarly, in school environments, students with ADHD frequently struggle with inattention, disorganization, and disruptive behaviours, leading to incomplete work and peer-related difficulties. Behaviour management interventions in schools aim to address these behaviours comprehensively <sup>[6]</sup>. The importance of treating ADHD during the school-age years cannot be overstated. Shortterm consequences of untreated ADHD symptoms include academic underachievement and strained social relationships, including conflicts within families and challenges in forming friendships. The aim of treating ADHD is to better the core symptoms and mitigate behavioural issues, typically through drug therapy and non-drug interventions. Evidence-backed treatments involve stimulant medication and behavioural approaches, either independently or in combination, although professional consensus on their relative efficacy and timing of initiation varies. Concerns over stimulant side effects, such as impacts on growth, heightwith dosage and duration of use counteract its optimal

use. Consequently, behavioural interventions, which may improve outcomes, reduce costs, and minimize side effects, are often the initial choice, especially for preschoolers, as recommended by the American Academy of Paediatrics, Indian Academy of pediatrics (IAP), and National institute of Health and care excellence (NICE) guidelines (UK).

Biofeedback, a therapeutic method targeting brain function to address neurological or psychological symptoms, represents a wellestablished treatment for ADHD, boasting remission rates of 32-47% with sustained effects observed after 6-12 months.<sup>[7]</sup> Research into behavioural interventions ADHD underscores for the effectiveness of parental management training in reducing symptoms. Cognitive-behavioural therapy interventions have shown promise in ameliorating ADHD symptoms and associated anxiety.<sup>[8]</sup> Studies also highlight the efficacy of telephone-assisted self-help interventions for parents of children with ADHD, indicating improvements in child behaviour and parenting practices.<sup>[9]</sup> Moreover, observational data suggests that behaviour therapy can lead to long-term improvements in ADHD symptoms, suggesting promising prognoses for affected children.<sup>[10]</sup> However, its impact on core ADHD symptoms varies and is relatively modest when only blinded assessments are considered. Recent research suggests that combining medication with Cognitive Behaviour Therapy [CBT] is more effective than using stimulant medication alone.<sup>[11]</sup>

For children under the age of 6 diagnosed with ADHD, the most recommended evidence based initial intervention is parent behaviour training. The effectiveness of psychostimulants in this age group is not well supported, and their use is not approved by any international guidelines, including the IAP guidelines on ADHD.<sup>[12,13, 14]</sup> However, for children aged 6 and above, medication, primarily targeting core ADHD symptoms, should be considered. Nonetheless, since over half of children with ADHD have other psychiatric and developmental conditions, a comprehensive approach that includes non-pharmacological interventions, including psycho educating the parents and families, should be adopted to improve compliance, academic performance, and overall quality of life.[9]Parents' preferences for either medication or behavioral interventions for younger children are influenced

by their beliefs, accessibility of interventions, and concerns regarding adverse effects and stigma. Those focusing on improving academic skills tend to lean toward medication, while those concerned about behaviour are more inclined toward behavioural therapy. Further, there's a growing concern about the overprescription of stimulants for non-ADHD-related disorders and the use of multiple medications for ADHD treatment.<sup>[10]</sup> Consequently, the prescription rates for ADHD have risen substantially in various countries. Regarding the effects of stimulant medication, while shortterm trials have shown improvements in various domains such as decision-making and academic productivity, the long-term impact on core ADHD symptoms is uncertain. However, stimulants seem to enhance quality of life, and academic achievement, and reduce rates of comorbid anxiety and depression in young adulthood. Longitudinal studies suggest that persistent ADHD symptoms into adulthood may warrant continued stimulant treatment, as it is associated with better employment outcomes and reduced risks related to motor vehicle injuries.<sup>[11]</sup>

Considering the uniqueness of Indian scenario where the parents often take self-decisions, do irrational interventions and are often mislead to alternative interventions with dubious benefits, it becomes imperative to understand the role of psychoeducation of the parents of children with ADHD and understanding the dynamics behind informed decision making. Hence a study was proposed to compare the effectiveness of the stimulant medications with or without parental education for parents of children with ADHD.

### Objectives

The primary objectives of the study were to understand the progress of the ADHD children undergoing ADHD interventions by behaviour modification and / or medication and to assess the effectiveness of parental training followed up by interval guidance in sustaining the interventions at clinic level.

The secondary objective was to study the parental outlook towards clinic based parental guidance in maintaining sustained interventions in children with ADHD.

### **Materials & Methods**

Parents of children in the school going age group 6 years to 18 years, who gave written informed consent to participate in the study and undergo interventions as explained in the study brochure were enrolled into the study by consecutive sampling method. A validated self-administered questionnaire on ADHD was given to the parents to fill up their response. This quantitative study analysed the effect of the clinic based parental guidance on changing unhealthy attitudes of parents and its consequences on the behaviour of children. Questionnaire is given as Appendix: 1. Following recruitment, depending on their age, they were either offered medication with behaviour modification (CBT) or parental training on behaviour management alone. Counselling was done by Clinical psychologist, and medical interventions and needed assessments were done by Developmental paediatrician. Survey method was used for data collection to understand the attitude and changes there-in.

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis was done for the demographic characteristics. Mean with SD was calculated where ever parametric data was available and median with interquartile were calculated for nonparametric data.



Figure 1: Distribution of age at enrolment and at follow-up.

### Results

Out of 50 parents who enrolled in the study, only 35 parents of ADHD children completed the 5-year follow-up study and answered the survey questionnaire. Sex distribution was skewed towards males with 77.8% males and 22.2% females. At the time of analysis, 48.1 % of children were 16 to 20 years of age, 29.6% were 7 to 10 years, and 14.8 % were 11 to 15 years of age. (Figure:1)

Out of the study population, 40.7% came to the health care facility at 11 to 15 years, and 25.9 % came at 7 to 10 years. The common reasons for consultation were low concentration (88.9%), inattention (77.8%), and hyperactivity (74.1%), difficulty in handling daily activities at school (70.4%). Difficulty in handling daily activities at home (44.6%) behavioral issues (55.6%), aggressive behavior (44.4%).

#### **Effect of Interventions:**

48.1% of children showed improvement with behavior modification alone and an equal percentage responded to both (behavior modification and medications); < 5% responded to medication alone (Figure2).



Figure 2: Distribution of effect of interventions

44.4 % were more than 50% satisfied with medication, whereas about 30% were more than80% satisfied with medication. About 70% of patients were more than 50% satisfied with behavior modification.44.4 % were more than 50% satisfied with medication, whereas about 30% were more than80% satisfied with medication. About 70% of patients were more than 50% satisfied with behavior modification. (Figure 3).

The most effective behavioural intervention was reinforcement and praising the child. In behavior

modification positive reinforcement (92.6%), short targets for studies (77.8%),1:1 attention 74.1 %, following time table (70%), repeat instructions, and stopped criticizing (70 %,) physical activity 63%, Stopped Scolding (67 %).91.2 % gave a score of > 50% satisfaction in overall treatment. (Figure 4)

77.8% were still following treatment after coming to clinic;14.8%followed for 12 months or more whereas only 7.4% followed treatment for less than 2 months. The fact that about 50% of children are 16 to 20 years old and still seeking guidance, means good compliance once they come to the centre. (Figure 5)

Most parents seek guidance after 11 years of age, although they face problems from an early age, thus showing parental resistance to accepting that there is a problem. However, the fact that currently, nearly 50% of children are in the 16 to 20 years age group, means once they seek guidance and see results, then they stick to the advice. However, there is still a lot of resistance to medication, although they follow behavioural modification.

### **Discussion:**

Despite its limitations, the current research has yielded insights into potential themes associated with ADHD behaviors in India. <sup>[15,16]</sup> Behavioral disorders in India are often viewed as specific to school environments and are not typically taken seriously until they impact academic performance as seen in the present study. This is inline with other studies also where the inattention and its effects are neglected till it affects the scholastic performance.<sup>[17, 18]</sup>

In the present study, too most of the parents (74%) sought guidance after they got complaints from the school, that's why they approached our centre mostly when the child started showing poor scholastic performance. Parents of children diagnosed with ADHD often have doubts about behaviour interventions and medication. The acceptability of treatment depends on various factors such as positive outcomes, time, effort, and effectiveness. Parents who perceive their child's behaviours as severe, such as oppositional, disruptive, or aggressive, are more inclined to seek counselling rather than medication as a treatment option.



44.4% parents preferred not to give medication, which shows parental resistance to start medicine. Out of those ADHD kids who received medicine , about 70 % found it to be effective .



Figure 3: Effect of medications:

Figure 4: Effectiveness of interventions

In Indian culture, child-rearing is primarily the responsibility of mothers, who are expected to prioritize homemaking and child-rearing over professional careers.<sup>[19,20]</sup> These expectations are deeply ingrained and reinforced by family and societal norms. Fathers typically become involved only when their child's behaviours become significantly problematic in a school setting.<sup>[15; 16]</sup>

The present study found that ADHD children were perceived as difficult to handle at school and

disruptive as is the case with Children with ADHD in many parts of India wherein they are often perceived as disruptive and hyperactive, leading to potential stigma for their mothers<sup>[21; 22; 23]</sup>. In the present study the common reasons for consultation were low concentration in 45 children (88.9%), inattention in 39children (77.8%), hyperactivity in 37 children (74.1%), difficulty in handling daily activities at school in 35 children (70.4%). Difficulty in handling daily activities at home in 22 children



Figure 5: Better Overall satisfaction ensuring follow-up compliance

(44.6%) behavioral issues in 28 children (55.6%), aggressive behaviour in 22 children (44.4%).

The child's behaviors are commonly seen as a reflection of the mother's parenting style, regardless of whether the child is diagnosed with a mental illness <sup>[21]</sup>. Additionally, mothers may fear stigma themselves if they seek psychological services. Efforts to destigmatize mental illness are underway through initiatives like the National Mental Health Program in India.

The primary treatment for ADHD involves pharmacotherapy and behavioral management. Accurate diagnosis is crucial before initiating treatment, and parents should be counselled that while treatment may improve their child's behaviour, it might not eliminate core symptoms. Stimulant medications are typically the first line of treatment, with non-stimulant alternatives considered if there's no improvement after 6 to 8 weeks. Behavioral interventions from trained professionals are also beneficial in managing difficult behaviors.

In the present study too parents accepted behaviour modification very well and were satisfied with the results of behaviour modification by a trained psychologist. CBT was given to kids who were more than 10 years old in the present study. About 70%parents were more than 50% satisfied with behaviour modification whereas only 44.4% parents were more than 50% satisfied with medication in the present study.

Psychoeducation for parents and extended family members is essential for symptom

improvement, along with involvement from schools to ensure appropriate behavioral management.

It showed beneficial results in the present study too.

Medication for ADHD should only be prescribed by healthcare professionals with expertise in ADHD diagnosis and management. Parent training programs are recommended as the initial treatment for children under 5 years old with ADHD. Medication for this age group should only be considered after consultation with a specialist. For children over 5 years old, education about ADHD causes and impacts, parenting advice, and collaboration with educational institutions are advised. Medication should only be considered for children and young people over 5 years old if symptoms persistently impair daily functioning after environmental modifications have been attempted and evaluated. These guidelines were followed in the present study.

### **Conclusion:**

The problems faced by the kids from an early age are often neglected and guidance is usually sought latesince behavioural problems in India are often viewed as typical to the school environment and not typically taken seriously until it affects scholastic performance. Further inattention and its ill effects are often neglected till it affects academic performance.

Kids with ADHD responded better to combined treatment with behaviour modification and medication than medication alone. However, there is a lot of resistance to medication, although they follow behavioural modification. The counselling of parents and behaviour modification sessions of the child in the clinic contribute significantly to improving the outcome. Medication has a very important role to play in a kid with ADHD, since it improves concentration, improves behaviour and makes the child less oppositional. Thus the child is more available for learning. Behavioural interventions, improve outcomes, reduce costs, and minimize side effects of medication due to reduction in the dose requirement. Thus the parents should be counselled that behaviour modification in association with medication reduces the dose of medication required to improve the symptoms and thus their concerns about side effects and cost will be reduced.

Further, once they seek guidance and see results, they stick to the advice.

#### What this study Adds :

1 Most parents seek guidance for ADHD after 11 years of age, although they face problems from an early age, thus showing parental resistance to accepting that there is a problem.

2 Parents of children with ADHD have lots of resistance to medication, although they follow behavioral modification. But once they see results especially in a very difficult behaviour of the child or very poor academic achievement, they follow the advise.

#### **Financial Support and sponsorship:**

Nil

#### **References:**

- Joshi HM, Angolkar M. Prevalence of ADHD in Primary School Children in Belagavi City, India. J Atten Disord. 2021 Jan;25(2):154-160. doi: 10.1177/1087054718780326. Epub 2018 Jun 21. PMID: 29929414.
- Sharma P, Gupta RK, Banal R, Majeed M, Kumari R, Langer B, Akhter N, Gupta C, Raina SK. Prevalence and correlates of Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder (ADHD) risk factors among school children in a rural area of North India. J Family Med Prim Care. 2020 Jan 28;9(1):115-118. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_587\_19. PMID: 32110575; PMCID: PMC7014897
- Song P, Zha M, Yang Q, Zhang Y, Li X, Rudan I. The prevalence of adult attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: A global systematic review and meta-analysis. J Glob Health. 2021 Feb 11;11:04009. doi: 10.7189/ jogh.11.04009. PMID: 33692893; PMCID: PMC7916320.
- Arora NK, Nair MKC, Gulati S, Deshmukh V, Mohapatra A, Mishra D, et al. Neurodevelopmental disorders in children aged 2–9 years: Population-based burden estimates across five regions in India. PLoS Med [Internet]. 2018;15(7):e1002615. Available from: <u>http:// dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002615</u>
- Charach A, Skyba A, Cook L, Antle BJ. Using stimulant medication for children with ADHD: what do parents say? A brief report. J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006;15(2):75-83.

### **Conflicts of interest:**

There are no conflicts of interest

- Hechtman L, Abikoff H, Klein RG, Greenfield B, Etcovitch J, Cousins L, et al. Children with ADHD treated with long-term methylphenidate and multimodal psychosocial treatment: impact on parental practices. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry [Internet]. 2004;43(7):830–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01. chi.0000128785.52698.19
- Satapathy S, Choudhary V, Sharma R. Rajesh Sagar; Nonpharmacological Intervention for ADHD in India 378. Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine. 2016;38.
- Dalwai S, Unni J, Kalra V, Singhi P, Shrivastava L, et al. National Consultation Meeting for Developing IAP Guidelines on Neuro Developmental Disorders under the aegis of IAP Childhood Disability Group and the Committee on Child Development and Neurodevelopmental Disorders, Consensus statement of the Indian Academy of Pediatrics on evaluation and management of attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Indian Pediatr [Internet]. 2017;54(6):481–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13312-017-1052-z
- 9. Wolraich ML, Hagan JF Jr, Allan C, Chan E, Davison D, Earls M, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Pediatrics [Internet]. 2019;144(4):e20192528. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-2528
- 10. Kuppili PP, Manohar H, Pattanayak RD, Sagar R, Bharadwaj B, Kandasamy P. ADHD research in India: A

narrative review. Asian J Psychiatr [Internet]. 2017;30:11– 25. Available from: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> <u>ajp.2017.07.022</u>

- Drechsler R, Brem S, Brandeis D, Grünblatt E, Berger G, Walitza S. ADHD: Current concepts and treatments in children and adolescents. Neuropediatrics [Internet]. 2020;51(5):315–35. Available from: <u>http://dx.doi. org/10.1055/s-0040-1701658</u>
- Naqvi S, Minstlm M. Diagnosis and management of ADHD: Summary of NICE guidance; hospital pharmacy Europe. 2020;18.13. Chaplin S. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: diagnosis and management. Prog NeurolPsychiatr [Internet]. 2018;22(3):27-9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pnp.51114. Shivani Mathur Gaiha F, Rahman Gulfam I. Rangashri Kishore & Sujaya Krishnan; Pilot Community Mental Health Awareness Campaign Improves Service Coverage in India. Community Mental Health Journal. 2021;57:814-27.
- Karande S, Satam N, Kulkarni M, Sholapurwala R, Chitre A, Shah N. Clinical and psychoeducational profile of children with specific learning disability and co-occurring attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Indian J Med Sci [Internet]. 2007;61(12):639–47. Available from: http:// dx.doi.org/10.4103/0019-5359.37784
- Wilcox CE, Washburn R, Patel V. Seeking help for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in developing countries: a study of parental explanatory models in Goa, India. Soc Sci Med [Internet]. 2007;64(8):1600–10. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.11.03217
- 17. Valk R, Srinivasan V. Work family balance of Indian women software professionals: A qualitative study. IIMB Management Review. 2011;23(1):39–50.

### Appendix 1:

Self-administered Survey Questionnaire:

\* Indicates required question

ADHD Treatment Survey (Palak Child Development Centre - Dr. Lata Bhat)

"Your responses will contribute to our analysis and will give us a chance to improve things and reach

- Donner H. Domestic goddesses: Maternity, globalization and middle-class identity in contemporary India [Internet]. London, England: Routledge; 2016. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315577951
- John A. Stress among mothers of children with intellectual disabilities in urban India: role of gender and maternal coping: Journal of applied research in intellectual disabilities. J Appl Res Intellect Disabil [Internet]. 2012;25(4):372-82. Available from: http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-3148.2011.00672.x
- Jacobs M, Woolfson LM, Hunter SC. Attributions of stability, control and responsibility: How parents of children with intellectual disabilities view their child's problematic behaviour and its causes. J Appl Res Intellect Disabil [Internet]. 2016;29(1):58–70. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jar.12158
- 21 John A, Bailey LE, Jones JL. Culture and context: exploring attributions and caregiving approaches of parents of children with an intellectual disability in urban India: Indian parents of children with ID. Child Fam Soc Work [Internet]. 2017;22(2):670–9. Available from: http:// dx.doi.org/10.1111/cfs.12282
- 22. Shivani Mathur Gaiha F, Rahman Gulfam I. Rangashri Kishore & Sujaya Krishnan; Pilot Community Mental Health Awareness Campaign Improves Service Coverage in India. Community Mental Health Journal. 2021;57:814– 27.
- 23. Samir H D, Aradhana R, Manish RG, Supriya M. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in children and adolescents
  - an update. Indian Journal of Practical Pediatrics. 2021;23(4).

to you and other ADHD parents and children in a better way. Through this survey, we will be able to authenticate our treatment with the evidencebased practices used worldwide to treat ADHD. Please fill the questionnaire as per your experience. We thank you for giving us your precious time and we ensure that the information provided by you will be kept confidential.

	Name of the child	
2.	Gender of the child* Male	Female
3.	Age of the child* Less than 7 years 11-15 years	7-10 years 16-20 years

satisfied)\*

4. Approximate age of the child when first consulted to the Centre\* Less than 7 years 7-10 years 11-15 years 16-20 years 5. What was the issue/reason of consultation. You can mark more than one response.\* Hyperactivity Inattention Low Confidence Low Concentration **Behavioural Issues** Low Motivation Difficult peer relations Aggression Difficulty in handling daily activities at home Difficulty in handling daily activities at school Other: 6. In your opinion, which of the following was more effective in the treatment of your child?\* **Behaviour Modification** Medication Both 7. For how long did you follow the treatment/recommendations given?\* Less than 2 months 6 months 12 months 12 months or more Still following 8. On a scale of 1-10; how much effective was the Medication? (10 being the most effective)\* 12345678910 On a scale of 1-10; how much effective was the Behaviour Modification? (10 being the most 9. effective)\* 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10. Which of the following recommendations of Behaviour Modification was more effective? You can mark more than one response.\* Reinforcement and praise after completing the work Physical activities Following the time table Spending time with your child Keeping things at the same place (organised way of living) Less exposure to television Listening to soft music Short target studies One to one attention Stop criticizing Stop scolding Short, clear and direct instructions **Repeating instructions** Drawing attention of the child to yourself when talking Taking breaks during long session of academical work Other: 11. On a scale of 1-10; how much were you satisfied with the overall treatment? (10 being highly

# **GUIDE LINES FOR AUTHORS AND MANUSCRIPT PREPARATION:**

#### THE MANUSCRIPTS:

The manuscript should be an original research paper which sufficiently contributes novelty to Development and Behavioral Pediatrics. Authors are requested to submit their papers electronically by using IJDBP online submission procedure. The corresponding author should also provide a statement that the manuscript is not concurrently being under consideration for publication elsewhere. The Editors will ignore submissions that do not follow these procedures.

#### SUBMISSION : All manuscripts to be submitted online on editor.ijdbp@gmail.com

#### STRUCTURE OF THE MANUSCRIPTS:

- 1. Title Page should contain: concise and informative title, Name(s) of the author(s) with initials and surname, name of the department, Institution & complete address with telephone number and e-mail of the corresponding author.
- 2. Abstract should not be more than 300 words & should be on a separate sheet. It should present the most important results stating the background, methods, results & conclusion.
- 3. Key words (5 to 7).
- 4. Word count
  - a. For Research Articles 2000 to 2500 words.
  - b. For Review Article 2500 to 3000 words.
  - c. For Consensus Guidelines 3000 to 3500 words.
  - d. For updates 500 to 1000 words.
  - e. For Case Reports 500 to 1500 words.
  - f. Commentary 1000 to 1500 words
  - g. Letter to editor 500 words
- 5. Introduction should state purpose of study & give brief review of pertinent literature. Cite only those references that are essential to justify the purpose of study.
- 6. Material & Methods should follow the introduction & should provide enough information to permit repetition of experimental work/ project, giving how the study was designed, carried out and data was analyzed. State whether study was approved by ethics committee or not. Describe statistical analysis methods used with required details. Give actual p-values, t-values define statistical terms, symbols and abbreviations.
- 7. Results should describe the outcome of study giving relevant data. Avoid repeating in the text all the data depicted in tables or illustrations: Tables (numbered in roman numerals) & illustrations (Numbered in Arabic Numerals) should be prepared on separate sheet(s) with headings or legends. Minimum size of illustrations should be 8 x 10 cm. Top of figure should be marked by an arrow on its back as well as figure's number and author's name on left side of photograph. Cite the tables/ figures in the text at appropriate places.
- 8. Discussion ordinarily should not be more than 1/3 of total length of manuscript. New and possible important findings of the study should be emphasized, as well as any conclusions that can be drawn. The Discussion should compare the present data to existing literature. The implications of the findings and their limitations, including potential for future research should be discussed. New hypotheses and clinical recommendations that are appropriate should be clearly identified.

- 9. References In general, the number of references should not exceed 40 for original articles, 50 for review articles and 15 for case reports. The authors are responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the references and their citations in the text. 1. References should be numbered consecutively in the order in which they are first mentioned in the text. 2. References in text, tables and legends should be identified by superscript numerals in square brackets [] at the end of the sentence outside any punctuation. If several different studies or papers are cited within one sentence, the number should be placed where it will accurately identify the correct study.
  - A. Papers published in journals / periodicals: Authors surnames followed by initials of first names; full title of paper; full name of journal; year of publication, volume, issue (in Arabic Numerals), first & last page numbers eg. Rocs DB. Congenital anomalies associated with thoracic outlet syndrome. Am J Surg 1976;132(3):771-778.
  - B. When writing a reference in text, the surname of the author should be given; if there are two authors, the surnames of both the authors should be given & if there are more than two authors, then surname of first author followed by "et al" and name(s) should be followed by year in bracket.
  - C1. Books: Author's surnames and initials of first name; name of chapter (if any). In: full title of the book; Edition; Name & Domicile of Publisher; Year of Publication; pp (first & last page no.) eg. Weiner JS. Human Biology, an introduction to human evolution, variation & growth. In: Human Ecology. W.A. Harrison, J.S. Weiner & N.A. Barnicat (Eds,), Ist edition, Oxford University Press, Philadelphia, 1964; pp 401-508.
  - C2. Books: Names of Author(s) of Chapter: Name of the Chapter. In: Name of the book. Names of the editors, Edition, Name & Domicile of Publisher; Year of Publication; PP (first & last Page no.).
- 10. All figures should be planned to fit within either 1 column width (8.0 cm), 1.5 column widths (13.0 cm) or 2 column widths (17.0 cm), and must be suitable for photocopy reproduction from the printed version of the manuscript.

Although low quality images are adequate for review purposes, print publication requires high quality images to prevent the final product being blurred or fuzzy. Submit JPEG or TIFF files only. MS PowerPoint and Word Graphics are unsuitable for printed pictures. Do not use pixel-oriented programs. Scans (JPEG &TIFF only) should have a resolution of 300 dpi (halftone) or 600 to 1200 dpi (line drawings) in relation to the reproduction size.

11. The first author will receive a complimentary copy of the journal free.

### **General Writing Format:**

- 1. The manuscript is prepared in an A4 paper, single-sided, and single line spacing format. A new paragraph should start 5 characters from the left margin, using 10-size, typed in Times New Roman or Arial font type.
- 2. The manuscript should be written in proper English.
- 3. The top and bottom margins are 1 inch.
- 4. The title is written using capital letters only at first word or special name (example: location name), 17 font size, center position.
- 5. Sub titles are written using capital letters only at first word or special name, 12 font size, starting from the left margin.
- 6. Sub of a sub of sub titles, if any, are written using capital letters only at the beginning of each word except for connecting words, all in italics. They should be started from the left margin.
- 7. All references should be preferably of the last ten years publication.

**Tables and Figures :** Tables and figures should be presented as follows:

- 1. The name of tables and figures should follow a numbering system (Arabic numbering system). The title of the tables and figures are placed at the top and the bottom respectively.
- 2. The tables and figures should provide the source of information, if any, at the bottom.
- 3. Each image should be less than 4096 kb(4MB).All the Images/Figures should be submitted in separate files. Do not Zip the file.

DIAN 40	DEMY ON REDIANS	ndian A	cadem	y of Pedi	atrics	AND A COLOR OF RECORDER	
1		Chapter of I	Neuro Devo	elopmental Pe	diatrics	A REURODEVELOPMENTAL	
Me	ړ mbership		Ar	plication		For	
	(Please fill in	a capital letters; Al	1 Information	Mandatory; Pl do no	t leave any b	lank spaces)	
1.	Surname:	I	First Name:		Middlel	Name:	
2.	Date of Birth						
3.	Central IAP Membe	ership Number (Fo	or Pediatricians	S Only):			
4.	Permanent address:						
5.	Office Address :						
6.	Email:			Landline Tele	phone:		
7.	Mobile Phone Num	ber (1)		(2)			
8.	Present Work Status	: Private	_Govt	_Medical College _	Vo	oluntaryAgency	
9.	Qualifications		Name c	f University		Year of Passing	
	MBBS			· · ·			
	MD Pediatrics						
	DCH						
	DNB Pediatrics						
	Others						
10.	Areas of Interest of	Work :					
11.	Membership Subscr	ription:					
	a) Life Membershi	p for Central IAP	Members – Rs	1500			
	b) Life Associate Membership for Doctors other than Pediatricians - Rs1500						
	c) Life Affiliate Me	mbership for All	Other Profession	onals – Rs1500			
12.	On online transfer kawaljit000@gma	please e-mail the <b>il.com</b>	scanned form	with transfer detai	ls to <b>cdgiap</b>	@gmail.com with cc	
NAM	IE OF ACCOUNT : IAP	CHAPTER OF NEU	RO DEVELOPM	ENTAL PEDIATRICS			
PAYA	ABLE AT ERNAKULAN	I FEDERAL BANK I	TD ERNAKUL	AM / KATHRUKADAV	U		
ACC	OUNT NUMBER : 1686	)100040046	IFSC CODE : FI	DRL0001686			
					Signature of	the Applicant with dat	
			For Office	Use Only			
Mer	nbershipNo		Particulars of t	hereceipt: Cheque/I	D.DNoBank		
			Ar	nount		Date	



### **Key Features**

- Discusses the most important aspects of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics.
- Evidence based Data with recent advances have been emphasized.
- Crisp, concise yet comprehensive text.
- Best management practices have been laid down.
- Contributions from various national and international experts, and luminaries in their respective fields
  of interest and expertise spread over 60 chapters
- Text is supplemented with tables, diagrams and images.
- The book has been designed keeping in view the present needs and possible future requirements of
  practitioners and students of pediatrics.